# PMATH 336: Introduction to Group Theory with Applications University of Waterloo Instructor: Andrew Zucker Spring 2023

Andrew Wang

# Table of Contents

Introduction to Groups	4
Functions Review	4
Semigroup, Monid, Group	
Semigroup	
Monoid	
Group	
Basic Properties of Groups	
Cancellative	
Order	
Subgroups	
Examples of Groups	
Symmetric Group	-
Dihedral Group	
Additive Group of Integers Modulo $n \dots $	
Multiplicative Group of Integers Modulo $n$ and Unit Group $\ldots$	
Free Group	
Infinite Dihedral Group	
Subgroups and Generators	
Subgroup Tests	
Generators	
Center, Centralizer, Commutator Subgroups	15
Isomorphisms, Cyclic Groups, Permutation Groups	18
Isomorphisms	
-	
Cyclic Groups	
Euler's Totient Function	
Permutation Groups	
Permutation Cycles	
Alternating Groups	
Cayley's Theorem	27
Automorphisms, Conjugation, Normality, Cosets	28
Automorphisms	
Conjugation	
Homomorphism	
Kernel	
Normality	
Cosets	
Lagrange's Theorem	
Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem	35
Products	38
Direct Products of Cyclic Groups	
Gauss's Theorem	
Isomorphism of Products	
	59
Factor Maps	40
First Isomorphism Theorem	
Normalizer	
	=-

Finite Abelian Groups	44
Group Actions Polya-Burnside	<b>49</b> 51

# Introduction to Groups

# **Functions Review**

**Definition**: given two sets X and Y let  $f : X \to Y$  be a function

- f is an assignment (mapping) to each possible input  $x \in X$  to some output  $f(x) \in Y$
- X is the *domain* of f
- Y is the *codomain* of f
- $f[X] := range/image \text{ of } f = \{f(x) : x \in X\}$

The function  $f: X \to Y$  is called:

• **Injective** (one-to-one): when  $\forall x_1, x_2 \in X$ 

$$x_1 \neq x_2 \implies f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$$

• Surjective (onto): when  $\forall y \in Y$ 

$$\exists x \in X \text{ where } f(x) = y$$

• **Bijective**: when *f* is both injective and surjective

*Recall*: if we are negating a statement we switch  $\forall$  to  $\exists$  and vice versa

**Definition**: for sets X, Y, Z the *composition* of functions  $f : X \to Y$  and  $g : Y \to Z$  is the function

$$g \circ f : X \to Z$$
 given by  $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ 

Theorem: function composition is assocative

• given sets W, X, Y, Z along with the functions  $f: W \to X, g: X \to Y, h: Y \to Z$  we have

 $(h\circ g)\circ f=f\circ (g\circ f)$ 

**Proof**: two functions are equal when they produce the same output for all inputs

• Select an arbitrary  $w \in W$  then

$$((h \circ g) \circ f)(w) = (h \circ g)(f(w)) = h(g(f(w)))$$
$$(h \circ (g \circ f))(w) = h((g \circ f)(w)) = h(g(f(w)))$$

• Since  $(h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f)$  for arbitrary inputs, hence they are equal

**Definition**: given a set X, let  $X^X$  denote the set of functions of the form  $f: X \to X$ 

Notice that:  $f, g \in X^X \implies g \circ f \in X^X$ 

# Semigroup, Monid, Group

**Definition**: Let S be a set, a *binary operation* on S is a function  $b: S \times S \to S$ 

- Takes two elements from S as input and produces a element from S as output
- Written multiplicatively if given  $s, t \in S$  then the output of b(s, t) is denoted  $s \cdot t$  (or just st)
- Written additively if given  $s, t \in S$  then the output of b(s, t) is denoted s + t

Thus for an operation to be a binary operation it must be closed under the set it acts on.

The following is a informal progression of increasing structure towards a group:

- Magma: set equipped with a single binary operation (closed by definition of binary operation)
- Semigroup: magma except the binary operation is also associative
- Monoid: semigroup except that the set contains an identity element
- Group: monoid except each element of the set has an inverse

#### Semigroup

**Definition:** a semigroup is a set S equipped with an associative binary operation, denoted  $(S, \cdot)$ 

• The binary operation on S is associative if  $\forall s, t, u \in S$ 

$$s \cdot (t \cdot u) = (s \cdot t) \cdot u$$

- $e_L \in S$  is a *left identity* of S if for every  $t \in S$ , we have  $e_L \cdot t = t$
- $e_R \in S$  is a right identity of S if for every  $t \in S$ , we have  $t \cdot e_R = t$
- $e \in S$  is a 2-sided identity (or just identity) of S if e is both a left and right identity of S

**Theorem:** suppose S is a semigroup and  $e_L \in S$  a left identity with  $e_R \in S$  a right identity

- $e_L = e_R$  as the 2-sided identity
- Semigroup may have at most one 2-sided identity.

**Proof**: consider the element  $e_L \cdot e_R \in S$  then we have

$$e_L \cdot e_R = e_R$$
 and  $e_L \cdot e_R = e_L \implies e_R = e_L$ 

**Definition**: given a semigroup  $(S, \cdot)$  a subsemigroup is a subset  $T \subseteq S$  such that

• T is closed under the binary operation inherited from  $(S, \cdot)$ 

$$T \cdot T := \{ u \cdot v : u, v \in T \} \subseteq T$$

Note that T is a semigroup in its own right.

# Monoid

**Definition**: a monoid is a semigroup S which contains a (necessarily unique) 2-sided identity.

- When written multiplicatively we write the identity element as  $1_S$
- When written additively we write the identity element as  $0_S$
- If S is a monoid and  $T \subseteq S$ , then T is a submonoid of S if T is a subsemigroup of S and  $1_S \in T$

**Fact**:  $(X^X, \circ)$  is a monoid with  $id_x$  (the identity function) as it 2-sided identity

- If  $T \subseteq X^X$  is any subsemigroup, then  $T \cup {id_x}$  is a monoid
- A subsemigroup  $T \subseteq X^X$  can be monoid while not containing  $\mathrm{id}_x$ , so T is not a submonoid of S

**Facts**: fix a function  $f: X \to Y$  then

- f has a left inverse iff f is injective
- f has a right inverse iff f is surjective
- f has a 2-sided inverse iff f is bijective

If f has a 2-sided inverse it must be unique and we typically denote it as  $f^{-1}$ .

**Definition**: let S be a monoid with identity  $1_S$  and fix  $u \in S$ 

- $v \in S$  is a *left inverse* of u if  $v \cdot u = 1_S$
- $v \in S$  is a right inverse of u if  $u \cdot v = 1_S$
- $v \in S$  is a 2-sided inverse of u if v is both a left and right inverse of u

**Theorem**: Let S be a monoid and  $u \in S$ 

- If  $v_L, v_R \in S$  are left and right inverses of u then  $v_L = v_R$
- It directly follows that *u* has at most one 2-sided inverse

**Proof**: consider the element  $v_L \cdot u \cdot v_R$  since the binary operation is assocative the following are equivalent:

 $(v_L \cdot u) \cdot v_R = 1_S \cdot v_R = v_R$  and  $v_L \cdot (u \cdot v_R) = v_L \cdot 1_S = v_L$ 

When  $u \in S$  has a 2-sided inverse we denote that by  $u^{-1}$ .

#### Group

Definition: a group is a monoid with every element having a (necessarily unique) 2-sided inverse

Summary: a group is a set equiped by some operation where

- The set must be closed under the operation
- The operation must be associative
- The set must contain an 2-sided identity element
- Every element in the set must have another element in the set that is its 2-sided inverse

# **Basic Properties of Groups**

**Definition** (*Group*): a set G equipped with associative binary operation with:

- 2-sided identity  $1_G \in G$  (i.e. for every  $g \in G$  we have  $1_G \cdot g = g = g \cdot 1_G$ )
- 2-sided inverse  $g^{-1} \in G$  for every  $g \in G$  (i.e.  $g^{-1} \cdot g = 1_G = g \cdot g^{-1}$ )

**Definition**: an Abelian group (also called a *commutative group*) is a group where  $\forall g, h \in G$ 

$$g \cdot h = h \cdot g$$

**Definition**: let set X be non-empty, the symmetric group on X is

$$Sym(X) := \{ f \in X^X : f \text{ is bijective} \} \subseteq X^X$$

- A bijection of the form  $X \to X$  can be called a *permutation* of X
- Sym(X) may be called a group of permutaions of X
- When  $X = \{1, \ldots, n\}$  we write  $S_n$

For a set of n elements there are n! permutations so  $|S_n| = n!$ .

# Cancellative

**Definition**: for a semigroup S we say it is

• Left cancellative if for any  $a, b, c \in S$ 

$$ab = ac \implies b = c$$

• Right cancellative if for any  $a, b, c \in S$ 

$$ba = ca \implies b = c$$

• Cancellative if S is both left and right cancellative

**Theorem:** if G is a group then G is cancellative

**Proof**: to prove this we show that G is both left and right cancellative

• Suppose that  $a, b, c \in S$  satisfies ab = ac, then since G is a group we multiply by inverse  $a^{-1} \in G$ 

$$ab = ac \implies a^{-1}ab = a^{-1}ac \implies b = c$$

• Suppose that  $a, b, c \in S$  satisfies ba = ca, then since G is a group we multiply by inverse  $a^{-1} \in G$ 

$$ba = ca \implies baa^{-1} = caa^{-1} \implies b = caa^{-1}$$

Thus G is both left and right cancellative so it is cancellative.

# Order

#### **Definitions**:

- Order of group G is the size of set |G| (or  $\infty$  if G is infinite)
- Order of element  $g \in G$  is the least positive number n with  $g^n = 1_G$  (or  $\infty$  if no such n exists)

**Lemma**: Let G be a finite group then every  $g \in G$  has finite order

**Proof**: consider the finite set  $\{g^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq G$  (note:  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$  for this class)

- |G| = n is finite, so there must exist some  $m \ge n$  where  $g^m = g^n$  for  $n \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$
- Then  $g^n \cdot g^{-m} = g^{n-m} = 1_G$  and it follows that g has order N = n m which is finite

# Subgroups

**Definition**: for G a group, a subgroup is a subset  $H \subseteq G$  is also a group (under the same operation)

- Subsemigroup: associatively and  $a, b \in H \implies ab \in H$
- *Identity*: there exists  $1_H \in H$  such that  $1_H a = a = a 1_H$  for all  $a \in H$
- Inverse: given  $g \in H$  we require  $g_H^{-1} \in H$  such that  $g_H^{-1}g = 1_H = gg_H^{-1}$

We write  $H \leq G$  to denote that H is a subgroup of G

**Lemma**: let G be a group and  $H \leq G$  a subgroup, then  $1_H = 1_G$ **Proof**: since H is a subgroup we have  $u = (1_H)^{-1}$  (invest of  $1_H \in G$ ) then

$$1_G = u \cdot 1_H = u \cdot (1_H \cdot 1_H) = (u \cdot 1_H) \cdot 1_H = 1_G \cdot 1_H = 1_H$$

**Lemma**: if G is a group and  $H \leq G$  is a subgroup, then for  $g \in H$  we have  $g_H^{-1} = g^{-1}$  (so  $g^{-1} \in H$ ) **Proof**: take  $g \in H$  and use  $1_H = 1_G = 1$  from earlier (don't assume group is Abelian)

$$g_H^{-1}g = 1_H = 1_G = 1$$
 and  $gg_H^{-1} = 1_H = 1_G = 1$   $\rightarrow$   $g_H^{-1} = g^{-1} \in H$ 

**Definition**: let G be a group, then a subgroup  $H \leq G$  must satisfy:

- Subsemigroup:  $a, b \in H \implies ab \in H$  (and associative)
- Identity:  $1_G \in H$
- Inverse:  $g \in H \implies g^{-1} \in H$

# **Examples of Groups**

#### Symmetric Group

$$Sym(X) := \{ f \in X^X : f \text{ is bijective} \}$$

 $f \in \text{Sym}(x)$  is a bijection of the form  $f: X \to X$  and can also be called a permutaion of X.

We write  $S_n$  to denote Sym(X) when  $X = \{1, ..., n\}$  and  $|S_n| = n!$  ( $S_n$  contains n! elements)

- $S_0$  and  $S_1$  each contain exactly one element (groups with one element are called *trivial*)
- $S_2$  contains the identity and an element to swap 1 and 2 which we denote by (12)
  - Notice that  $(12)^2 := (12) \circ (12) = id_2$  so we can create a multiplication table:

	id <sub>2</sub>	(12)
$\mathrm{id}_2$	id <sub>2</sub>	(12)
(12)	(12)	$\mathrm{id}_2$

 $S_2$  is Abelian but  $S_n$  in general is not.

- By convention the entry in the table in row g and column h is the element  $g \circ h$ 

We will now consider  $S_3$  which has 6 elements and can be described in *cycle notation* as the set:

$$S_3 = \{ id_3, (12), (23), (13), (123), (132) \}$$

- (12) denotes ther permutation of  $\{1,2,3\}$  which swaps 1 and 2 and leaves 3 fixed
- (123) sends  $1 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 3, 3 \rightarrow 1$  and (132) sends  $1 \rightarrow 3, 3 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 1$
- Composition of permuations described in this notation is requires some practice

Example:  $(12) \circ (13) = (132)$ 

This can be seen by considering what  $(12) \circ (13)$  does on every possible input:

$$((12) \circ (13))(1) = (12)(3) = 3$$
$$((12) \circ (13))(2) = (12)(2) = 1$$
$$((12) \circ (13))(3) = (12)(1) = 2$$

	id <sub>3</sub>	(12)	(23)	(13)	(123)	(132)
$\mathrm{id}_3$	id <sub>3</sub>	(12)	(23)	(13)	(123)	(132)
(12)	(12)	$\mathrm{id}_3$	(123)	(132)	(23)	(13)
(23)	(23)	(132)	id3	(123)	(13)	(12)
(13)	(13)	(123)	(132)	$\mathrm{id}_3$	(12)	(23)
(123)	(123)	(13)	(12)	(23)	(132)	$\mathrm{id}_3$
(132)	(132)	(23)	(13)	(12)	$\mathrm{id}_3$	(123)

The full multiplication table for  $S_3$  (note that on row g column h is the entry  $g \circ h$ )

• Notice that gh = hg is not always satisfied so  $S_3$  is not Abelian.

- An example of this can be seen with (13) and (12)

$$((12) \circ (13))(\{1, 2, 3\}) = (12)(\{3, 2, 1\}) = \{3, 1, 2\} \rightarrow (12) \circ (13) = (132)$$
$$((13) \circ (12))(\{1, 2, 3\}) = (13)(\{2, 1, 3\}) = \{2, 3, 1\} \rightarrow (13) \circ (12) = (123)$$

- Notice that (123) is the same as (231) and (312)
- id<sub>3</sub> appears in an entry for each row and in each column

every row as has an id  $\iff \forall g \in G \ \exists h \in G \ gh = id \iff$  every element has a right inverse every column has an id  $\iff \forall g \in G \ \exists h \in G \ hg = id \iff$  every element has a left inverse

• There are also no repeats in any row or column which corresponds to being cancellative

$$(\forall g, h_0, h_1 \in G \quad h_0 \neq h_1 \implies h_0g \neq h_1g_1) \iff$$
 right cancellative  
 $(\forall g, h_0, h_1 \in G \quad h_0 \neq h_1 \implies gh_0 \neq gh_1) \iff$  left cancellative

note this is the contrapositive of the definition of cancellative.

 $S_3$  is a group so the last two observations should not be surprising but it is nice to get a concrete example.

*Remark*: elements of  $S_3$  correspond to symmetrices of a triangle's rotations and flips

• In general however  $S_n$  does not correspond to symmetrices of an *n*-gon

# **Dihedral Group**

 $D_{2n} = \{ f \in S_n : \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \ i \sim j \iff f(i) \sim f(j) \}$ 

The Dihedral group  $D_{2n}$  is a subgroup of  $S_n$  where the permutation respects the *edges* 

- For a more concrete understanding imagine n points arranged in a circle
  - $-S_n$  is if you are allowed to swap any point with any other point
  - $D_{2n}$  is if adjacent vertices must remain adjacent vertices after the mapping

- This is much more restrictive and we find that  $|D_{2n}| = 2n$  while  $|S_n| = n!$
- Note that most literature use  $D_n$  to mean the same thing as our  $D_{2n}$

We will inspect  $D_8$  which is the group of symmetries of a square (4-gon)

$$D_8 = \{ f \in S_4 : \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \ i \sim j \iff f(i) \sim f(j) \}$$

- We have vertices labelled 1, 2, 3, 4
- We also have the edge relations  $1\sim 2,\, 2\sim 3,\, 3\sim 4,\, 4\sim 1$ 
  - note: for  $a, b \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  having  $a \sim b$  also means we have  $b \sim a$  and we also take  $a \sim a$
- There are 8 elements of  $D_8$  which are

$$D_8 = \{ id_4, R_{90}, R_{180}, R_{270}, F, R_{90} \circ F, R_{180} \circ F, R_{270} \circ F \}$$

- $R_n$  denotes rotate n degrees
- -F denotes flip (any flip that does not move the square is fine)
- $-R_n \circ F$  denotes flip then rotate *n* degrees

Let us verify  $D_8 \leq S_4$ . begin by letting  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ 

• Subsemigroup:  $f, g \in D_8$ 

$$i \sim j \iff g(i) \sim g(j) \qquad (\text{as } g \in D_8)$$
$$\iff f(g(i)) \sim f(g(j)) \qquad (\text{as } f \in D_8)$$

Hence  $f \circ g \in D_8$ 

• Identity  $id_4 \in D_8$ 

$$i \sim j \iff i = \mathrm{id}_4(i) \sim \mathrm{id}_4(j) = j$$

• Inverse: if  $f \in D_8$  consider  $f^{-1} \in S_4$  (show that  $f^{-1} \in D_8$ )

$$f^{-1}(i) \sim f^{-1}(j) \iff f(f^{-1}(i)) \sim f(f^{-1}(j)) \qquad (f \in D_8)$$
$$\iff i \sim j \qquad (f \circ f^{-1} = \mathrm{id}_4)$$

which shows that  $f^{-1} \in D_8$ 

#### Additive Group of Integers Modulo n

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n := \{i \in \mathbb{N} : 0 \le i < n\}$  then given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  we set

$$a + b = i \mod n \iff a + b = i + cn$$
 for some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

- Proof of associative is trivial
- This group is Abelian because normal addition is commutative
- The 2-sided identity is 0 and given  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  the -i (inverse) is

$$-i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0\\ n-1 & \text{if } i \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

- As a result we know that  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$  is a group
- For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  what are the possible values for |a|?
  - e.g. for  $3, 4 \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  then |4| = 3 (4, 2, 0) and |3| = 2 (3, 0)
  - We know that  $m \leq n$  is a possible order iff  $m \mid n$

Other related groups:

- $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  is an *additive* group (Abelian and every *non-zero* element has order  $\infty$ )
- $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  is an *additive* group

#### Multiplicative Group of Integers Modulo n and Unit Group

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n := \{i \in \mathbb{N} : 0 \le i < n\}$  then given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  we set

 $a \cdot b = i \mod n \iff a \cdot b = i + cn$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

- Proof of associative is trivial
- This group is Abelian because normal multiplication is commutative
- The 2-sided identity is 1
- 0 will never have an inverse so  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, \cdot)$  is not a group when  $n \geq 2$ 
  - another failure: in  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ , 2 does not have an inverse

**Lemma** (*Bézout*): let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$gcd(a,b) = ax + by$$

• If gcd(m, n) = 1 there would exist some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

 $1 = mx + ny \rightarrow 1 = mx \mod n$ 

This means that m's inverse x will exist if gcd(m, n) = 1

• If x is m's inverse then 1 = mx + ny and letting g = gcd(m, n) then

$$g \mid m \text{ and } g \mid n \implies g \mid mx + ny \implies g \mid 1$$

Since only 1 and -1 divides 1 the only choice is that gcd(m, n) = 1 (since gcd can't be negative) As a result,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  has a multiplicative inverse iff gcd(m, n) = 1

**Definition**: the *unit group* is the subset  $\mathbb{U}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$  of elements with a multiplicative inverse:

 $\mathbb{U}_n = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}_n : \gcd(m, n) = 1\}$ 

- e.g.  $\mathbb{U}_7 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
- e.g.  $\mathbb{U}_{15} = \{1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14\}$

Notice that when p is prime then  $\mathbb{U}_p = \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ 

# Free Group

The free group on 2 generators  $F_2$  is built from the formal symbols  $\{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}\}$ 

- A word over this alphabet is just a finite string of the symbols
- The *reduced word* over this alphabet is a word where no more cancellation is possible

$$-aa^{-1}$$
,  $a^{-1}a$ ,  $bb^{-1}$ ,  $b^{-1}b$  can all be cancelled into into identity 1

- every word is *equivalent* to some unique reduced word
- e.g.  $abaa^{-1}b^{-1}ab \rightarrow abb^{-1}ab \rightarrow aab$

The free group  $F_2$  is the set of reduced words under the operation of concatenation and reduction

- e.g.  $(aab) \cdot (b^{-1}ab) = aaab$
- The identity is the empty word
- Inverse is flipping the word and inverting all the symbols

$$-$$
 e.g.  $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$ 

This group is not Abelian and every non-identity element has infinite order

#### Infinite Dihedral Group

The *infinite dihedral group*  $D_{\infty}$  is the set of reduced words built from the formal symbols  $\{a, b\}$ 

- This time we let a and b as their own inverse symbol and use the same reduction rule
  - -aa = 1 = bb then |a| = 2 = |b|
  - e.g. baab = 1 = abba
  - e.g.  $|ab| = \infty = |ba|$
  - e.g.  $aaababb \rightarrow ababb \rightarrow aba$
- The identity is once again the empty string
- Inverse of a given string is produced by flipping the string backwards

$$-$$
 e.g.  $(ab)^{-1} = ba$ 

This group is not Abelian but notice that it is a infinite group with elements of finite order

The finite dihedral group  $D_{2n}$  denotes the symmetries of an *n*-gon so what do elements of  $D_{\infty}$  act on?

- A shape with  $\infty$  edges ( $\infty$ -gon) is a infinite line up and down wich each vertex labelled
- Applying a flips the line at the point just above 0
- Applying *b* flips the line at 0
- *ab* shifts the line 1 slot upwards (*ba* shifts the line one slot downwards)

Say that  $|D_{\infty}| = \infty$  while many elements have order 2 and many others have order  $\infty$ 

#### Subgroups and Generators

#### Subgroup Tests

**Proposition** (one-step subgroup test): suppose G is a group, then  $H \leq G$  if H is non-empty and

- H is a subsemigroup of G
- *H* is closed under inverses

**Proof**: just need to show that  $1_G \in H$ 

- Since  $H \neq \emptyset$ , fix any  $g \in H$
- Using H's closure under inverses we also must have  $g^{-1} \in H$
- As  $H \subseteq G$  is a subsemigroup (closed under composition) we have

$$g^{-1} \cdot g = 1_G \in H$$

**Proposition** (finite subgroup test): suppose G is a finite group, then  $H \leq G$  if H is non-empty and

• H is a subsemigroup of G

**Proof**: just need to show that H is closed under inverses

- Since  $H \neq \emptyset$ , fix any  $g \in H$
- Then to show that  $g^{-1} \in H$  we use G is finite and find  $|g| = n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

- If 
$$n = 1$$
 then  $g = 1_G = g^{-1}$ 

- If  $n \ge 2$  then  $g^{n-1} \cdot g = 1_G = g \cdot g^{n-1}$  so we get  $g^{n-1} = g^{-1} \in H$ 

#### Generators

**Definitions**: let G be a group and  $X \subseteq G$ 

- $\langle X \rangle_s$  denotes subsemigroup generated by X, which is the smallest subsemigroup of G containing X
- $\langle X \rangle$  denotes subgroup generated by X, which is the smallest subgroup of G containing X

**Proposition**: let G be a group and  $X \subseteq G$  then

- 1.  $\langle X \rangle_s = \{x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_m^{n_m} : x_1, \dots, x_m \in X; m, n_1, \dots, n_m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\}$
- 2.  $\langle X \rangle = \{ x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_m^{n_m} : x_1, \dots, x_m \in X; m \in \mathbb{N}; n_1, \dots, n_m \in \mathbb{Z} \}$

**Proof**: we have  $1_G \in \langle X \rangle_s$  and  $1_G \in \langle X \rangle$  since we an take m = 0

1. To show  $\langle X \rangle_s$  is a subsemigroup take some  $x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_m^{n_m}, \ y_1^{k_1} \cdots y_\ell^{k_\ell} \in \langle X \rangle_s$ 

• Consider the product  $x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_m^{n_m} \cdot y_1^{k_1} \cdots y_\ell^{k_\ell}$  by renaming  $y_j$  to  $x_{m+j}$  and  $k_j$  to  $n_{m+j}$  then

$$x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_{m+\ell}^{n_{m+\ell}} \in \langle X \rangle_s$$

so  $\langle X \rangle_s$  is a subsemigroup

- 2. To show  $\langle X \rangle$  is a group we use the one-step subgroup test
  - By the same argument as (1) we get that  $\langle X \rangle$  is a subsemigroup
  - To show that  $\langle X \rangle$  is closed under inverses, let  $x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_n^{n_m} \in \langle X \rangle$  then

$$(x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_m^{n_m})^{-1} = x_m^{-n_m} \cdots x_1^{-n_1} \in \langle X \rangle$$

so  $\langle X \rangle$  is a group

These are the smallest since they are produced from taking products of elements and inverses of X

# **Remarks**:

- If  $\langle X \rangle = G$  then we say that X generates G
- When  $X = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$  for some  $g, \dots, g_k \in G$  we usually write  $\langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$  for  $\langle \{g_1, \dots, g_k\} \rangle$
- When  $X = \{g\}$  for some  $g \in G$  then  $\langle g \rangle$  is the cyclic subgroup generated by  $g \in G$

#### Examples:

• Consider the group  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ 

$$\langle 15, -10 \rangle = 5\mathbb{Z} = \{5n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$$

since gcd(15, -10) = 5

• Consider the group  $D_8 = \{ \mathrm{id}_4, R_{90}, R_{180}, R_{270}, F, R_{90} \cdot F, R_{180} \cdot F, R_{270} \cdot F \}$ 

$$\langle R_{90} \rangle = \{ \mathrm{id}_4, R_{90}, R_{180}, R_{270} \}$$

via the finite subgroup test  $\langle R_{90} \rangle_s = \langle R_{90} \rangle$  the set is finite

• Consider the free group on 2 generators  $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$ 

$$\langle ab, a \rangle = F_2$$

since  $a^{-1}ab = b$  so we have  $\{a, b\}$  to construct any element of  $F_2$ 

# Center, Centralizer, Commutator Subgroups

**Definitions**: let G be a group then

• Center of G

(subset of G that is Abelian)

$$Z(G) := \{ g \in G : \forall h \in G, gh = hg \}$$

- Note that  $gh = hg \iff g = hgh^{-1} \iff g = h^{-1}gh$ 

• Centralizer of subset  $S \subseteq G$  in G

(subset of G that is Abelian with S)

$$C_G(S) := \{g \in G : \forall h \in S, gh = hg\}$$

- Note that  $C_G(G) = Z(G)$
- If  $S = \{g\}$  for some  $g \in G$  we write  $C_G(g)$  instead of  $C_G(\{g\})$
- Commutator of some given  $a, b \in G$  is the group element

$$[a,b] := a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$$

(this notation does not denote an interval)

- note that  $ab = ba \cdot [a, b]$
- this tells use how far the elemenets are from being commutative
- if a, b are commutive then  $[a, b] = 1_G$
- Commutator subgroup of G (sometimes called the *derived subgroup*) is

$$[G,G] := \langle [a,b] : a,b \in G \rangle$$

([G,G] is the subgroup generated by commutators)

**Fact**: for a group G the following are equivalent:

- G is Abelian
- Z(G) = G
- $[G,G] = \{1_G\}$

# Examples:

• Consider  $D_8$ 

 $-C_{D_8}(F)$  has id<sub>4</sub>, F,  $R_{180}$  and add  $R_{180}F$  because the set needs to be a subgroup

$$C_{D_8}(F) = \{ \mathrm{id}_4, F, R_{180}, R_{180} \circ F \}$$

 $-C_{D_8}(R_{90})$  contains all rotations because that is the cyclic subgroup generated by  $R_{90}$ 

$$C_{D_8}(R_{90}) = \{ \mathrm{id}_4, R_{90}, R_{180}, R_{270} \}$$

- Since  $D_8 = \langle R_{90}, F \rangle$  we have

$$Z(D_8) = C_{D_8}(F) \cap C_{D_8}(R_{90}) = \{ \mathrm{id}_4, R_{180} \}$$

In general for non-empty subsets  $A_1, \ldots, A_k \subseteq G$  if we have  $G = \langle A_1, \ldots, A_k \rangle$  then

$$Z(G) = C_G(A_1) \cap \dots \cap C_G(A_k)$$

In order for  $a \in Z(G)$  it would need to commute  $\forall g \in G$  so it should show up in every  $C_G(A_i)$ 

$$C_G(S) := \{ g \in G : \forall h \in S, gh = hg \}$$

• Consider  $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$  we claim that  $Z(F_2) = \{1_{F_2}\}$ 

- Let  $w \in F_2$  be a non-trivial reduced word, say  $w = y_1 \cdots y_n$  with  $y_i \in \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}$ - Let  $x \in \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}$  be chosen so  $x \neq y_1$  and  $x \neq y_1^{-1}$  then

$$xw = xy_1 \cdots y_n$$

\* If n = 1 then  $wx = y_1x$  is reduced and  $xw \neq wx$  since  $xy_1 \neq y_1x$ 

\* If  $n\geq 2$  then wx even after reducing still starts with the same letter as w

· xw specifically does not start with the same letter as w so we must have  $xw \neq wx$ as a result we can say that  $w \notin Z(G)$ 

# Isomorphisms, Cyclic Groups, Permutation Groups

# Isomorphisms

**Definitions**: Let G and H be groups

• An *isomorphism* is a bijection  $\psi: G \to H$  which respects the group operations:

$$\forall a, b \in G \qquad \psi(a \cdot b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$$

 $-a \cdot b$  uses the group operation from G while  $\phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$  uses the group operation from H

• G and H are *isomorphic* (written  $G \cong H$ ) if there is an isomorphism from G to H (or vice versa)

*Remark*: we can have isomorphisms between groups written additively and multiplicatively:

$$\psi(a+b) = \psi(a) \cdot \psi(b)$$

**Proposition**: let G and H be groups and  $\psi: G \to H$  be an isomorphism, then

- 1.  $\psi^{-1}: H \to G$  is also an isomorphism
- 2.  $\psi(1_G) = 1_H$
- 3.  $\forall g \in G$  we get  $\psi(g^{-1}) = \psi(g)^{-1}$

#### **Proofs**:

1.  $\psi^{-1}$  is clearly a bijection so we just need to check that it respects the group operations

• Let  $h_0, h_1 \in H$ , then since  $\psi$  is an isomorphism

$$\psi(\psi^{-1}(h_0) \cdot \psi^{-1}(h_1)) = \psi(\psi^{-1}(h_0)) \cdot \psi(\psi^{-1}(h_1)) = h_0 \cdot h_1$$
$$\psi(\psi^{-1}(h_0 \cdot h_1)) = h_0 \cdot h_1$$

• Since  $\phi$  is a bijection these outputs can only be the same iff the inputs are the same so

$$\psi^{-1}(h_0) \cdot \psi^{-1}(h_1) = \psi^{-1}(h_0 \cdot h_1)$$

2. Let  $a \in G$  and  $b \in H$  where  $\psi(a) = b$  then

$$b = \psi(a \cdot 1_G) = a \cdot \psi(1_G)$$
$$b = \psi(1_G \cdot a) = \psi(1_G) \cdot a$$

since  $\psi(1_G)$  is a (necessarily unique) 2-sided inverse then  $1_H = \psi(1_G)$ 

3. Let  $a \in G$  then

$$\psi(a^{-1}) \cdot \psi(a) = \psi(a^{-1}a) = 1_H = \psi(a)^{-1} \cdot \psi(a) \implies \psi(a^{-1}) = \psi(a)^{-1}$$

**Proposition**: if G, H, K are groups with  $G \cong H$  and  $H \cong K$  then  $G \cong K$ 

**Proof**: let  $\psi: G \to H$  and  $\varphi: H \to K$  be isomorphisms. to show that

$$\varphi \circ \psi : G \to K$$

is an isomorphism we observe that it is a bijection. Then consider  $a, b \in G$ 

$$\begin{split} \varphi \cdot \psi(a \cdot b) &= \varphi(\psi(a \cdot b)) \\ &= \varphi(\psi(a) \cdot \psi(b)) \\ &= \varphi(\psi(a)) \cdot \varphi(\psi(b)) \\ &= (\varphi \circ \psi(a)) \cdot (\varphi \circ \psi(b)) \end{split}$$

Keep in mind that there are three different group operations present in the above.

# **Cyclic Groups**

**Definition**: a group G is cyclic if there exists  $a \in G$  with  $G = \langle a \rangle$ 

We have two examples for cyclic groups (and all other cyclic groups are isomorphic to these)

- 1.  $(\mathbb{Z}, +) = \langle 1 \rangle$
- 2.  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +) = \langle 1 \rangle$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

Remark: all cyclic groups are Abelian but not all Abelian groups are cyclic

**Theorem:** let  $G = \langle a \rangle$  be a cyclic group then |G| = |a|

• Order of  $a \in G$  denoted  $|a| = n \ge 1$  is the lowest value with  $a^n = 1_G$  (or  $\infty$  if no such n exists)

**Proof**: by definition we have  $\langle a \rangle = \{a^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ 

• If  $|a| = \infty$ 

- If |G| is finite then for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m < n there exists  $a^m = a^n$  however

$$a^m = a^n \iff 1_G = a^{n-m}$$

but  $n - m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  contradicting that |a| has infinite order so  $|G| = \infty$ 

• If 
$$|a| = n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$$

- If |G| < n then for some  $i, j \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$  with j < i there exists  $a^j = a^i$  however  $a^j = a^i \iff 1_G = a^{i-j}$ 

but i - j < n contradicts that |a| = n so we must have  $|G| \ge n$ 

- Noting that every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfies m = nq + r for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  then  $a^m = a^{nq} \cdot a^r = (a^n)^q \cdot a^r = 1_G \cdot a^r = a^r$ 

this says that  $|G| \leq n$  which combined with the previous point shows that |G| = n

**Theorem:** let  $G = \langle a \rangle$  be a cyclic group then

- If  $|a| = \infty$  then  $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}, +)$
- If  $|a| = n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  then  $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$

# **Proof**:

- 1. Define  $\psi: \mathbb{Z} \to G$  via  $\psi(m) = a^m$ 
  - from proof of |G| = |a| we argued if  $|a| = \infty$  then for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m < n we get  $a^m \neq a^n$
  - from that we get that  $\psi$  is an injection and also surjective by definition of  $\langle a \rangle$
  - $\psi$  is bijective so just need to show it respects the group operations to be an isomorphism

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$
  $\psi(m+n) = a^{m+n} = a^m \cdot a^n = \psi(m) \cdot \psi(n)$ 

- 2. Assume |a| = n with  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and define  $\psi : \mathbb{Z}_n \to G$  via  $\psi(m) = a^m$ 
  - from proof of |G| = |a| we argued that for all  $m, n \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$  with m < n that  $a^m \neq a^n$
  - from that we get that  $\psi$  is an injection
  - since  $\psi$  is an injection from one finite set another set of the same size,  $\psi$  is a bijection
  - with  $\psi$  bijective we just need to check that  $\psi$  respects group operations, so fix  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ - if  $k + \ell < n$  then

$$\psi(k + \ell \mod n) = \psi(k + \ell)$$
$$= a^{k+\ell}$$
$$= a^k \cdot a^{\ell}$$
$$= \psi(k) \cdot \psi(\ell)$$

- if  $k + \ell \ge n$  then

$$\psi(k + \ell \mod n) = \phi(k + \ell - n)$$

$$= a^{k + \ell - n}$$

$$= a^k \cdot a^\ell \cdot a^{-n} \qquad (\text{note: } a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1} = 1_G)$$

$$= \psi(k) \cdot \psi(\ell)$$

Theorem: every subgroup of a cyclic group is cyclic

# **Proof**:

- Consider subset  $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ 
  - $\operatorname{gcd}(X) = d \in \mathbb{N}$  is the greatest number that divides every  $x \in X$
  - by Bézout's identity for  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$  there exists  $a_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with

$$d = a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n$$

this means that  $d \in \langle X \rangle$  and hence  $\langle d \rangle \subseteq \langle X \rangle$ 

- also if  $m \in \langle X \rangle$  then, since  $d \mid x$  for  $x \in X$ , we must have  $d \mid m$  so  $m \in \langle d \rangle$  thus  $\langle d \rangle = \langle X \rangle$
- Consider subset  $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ 
  - just like above we have  $gcd(X) = d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n = d \in \langle X \rangle$  so  $\langle d \rangle \subseteq \langle X \rangle$
  - if  $m \in \langle X \rangle$  then, since  $d \mid x$  for  $x \in X$ , we must have  $d \mid m + qn$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$
  - since  $m + qn = m \mod n$  we conclude that  $m \in \langle d \rangle$  thus  $\langle d \rangle = \langle X \rangle$

# **Euler's Totient Function**

**Definition**: Euler's phi function  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  defined by

$$\phi(d) := |\{k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} : k < d \text{ and } gcd(k, d) = 1\}|$$

Remark: usually referred to as Euler's totient function in the literature

**Example:**  $\phi(8) = |\{1, 3, 5, 7\}| = 4$ 

**Theorem:** fix  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and consider  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ 

1. If  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $d \mid n$  then

$$\langle d \rangle = \{0, d, 2d, \dots, n-d\}$$
 and  $|d| = \frac{n}{d}$ 

2. For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  we have

$$\langle a \rangle = \langle \gcd(a, n) \rangle$$

3. Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  we have

$$\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle \iff \gcd(a, n) = \gcd(b, n)$$

#### **Proof**:

- 1. Since  $\frac{n}{d} \cdot d = 0 \mod n$  we definitely have  $|d| \leq \frac{n}{d}$ 
  - for  $|d| \ge \frac{n}{d}$  we know that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $k < \frac{n}{d}$  we have 0 < kd < n so we get  $|d| = \frac{n}{d}$
- 2. Write  $d = \gcd(a, n)$  as a = qd for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and directly get  $\langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle d \rangle$ 
  - to show that  $d \in \langle a \rangle$  we use Bézout's identity to say there exists  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $d = ka + \ell n$
  - then  $d = ka + \ell n = ka \mod n$  and  $d \in \langle a \rangle$  so hence  $\langle a \rangle = \langle d \rangle$

- 3. the right to left implication follows directly from (2)
  - for the converse suppose  $gcd(a, n) \neq gcd(b, n)$  then by (1)

$$|\langle \gcd(a,n)\rangle| = \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \neq \frac{n}{\gcd(b,n)} = |\langle \gcd(b,n)\rangle|$$

since the cyclic subgroups have different sizes by (2) we have  $\langle a \rangle \neq \langle b \rangle$ 

**Corollary**: if G finite cyclic group and  $d \mid n$  then G has exactly one subgroup H of order d

**Proof**: we may assume that  $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$  (because conclusion of corollary is preserved by isomorphism)

- For existance of  $H \leq G$  with order d we let  $H = \langle \frac{n}{d} \rangle$ 
  - then since  $\frac{n}{d} \mid n$  by part 1 of the previous theorem  $\left|\frac{n}{d}\right| = n/\frac{n}{d} = d$  and we get  $|H| = \left|\frac{n}{d}\right| = d$
- For uniqueness consider some subgroup  $K \leq G$  with |H| = d
  - for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  we have  $H = \langle a \rangle$  and by part 2 of previous theorem  $\langle a \rangle = \langle \gcd(a, n) \rangle$
  - for  $|\langle \gcd(a,n) \rangle| = d$  by part 1 of previous theorem then  $\gcd(a,n) = n/d$  thus K = H

#### Corollary:

1. If G is a cyclic group of order  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  then

$$|\{g \in G : \langle g \rangle = G\}| = \phi(n)$$

2. If G is a cyclic group of order  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $d \ge 1$  divides n then

$$|\{a \in G : |a| = d\}| = \phi(d)$$

3. If G is any finite group and  $d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ 

 $|\{a \in G : |a| = d\}|$  is a multiple of  $\phi(d)$ 

**Proof**: for parts (1) and (2) we work with  $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$ 

1. Given  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  we know  $\langle a \rangle = \langle \gcd(a, n) \rangle$  so

$$\langle a \rangle = G = \langle 1 \rangle \iff \gcd(a, n) = 1$$

and the number of such  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  is exactly  $\phi(n)$ 

2. Any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  with |a| = d belongs to unique subgroup of order d generated by  $\langle \frac{n}{d} \rangle$ 

We then just apply part (1) to this subgroup

3. Consider the collection

$$X = \{ H \le G : H \cong \mathbb{Z}_d \}$$

every  $a \in G$  of order d belongs to at least one memory of X, namely  $\langle a \rangle$ 

- Inside each  $H \in X$  there are exactly  $\phi(d)$ -many elements of order d by part (2)
- If  $H_0, H_1 \in X$  and  $a \in H_0 \cap H_1$  has order d then  $\langle a \rangle = H_0 = H_1$  hence

$$|\{a \in G : |a| = d\}| = |X| \cdot \phi(d)$$

# **Permutation Groups**

Recall: permutation groups are subgroups of the symmetric group.

- Given a set X, we write Sym(X) for the group of permutaions of X (bijections from X to itself)
- If  $X = \{1, ..., n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  we write  $S_n$  for the symmetric group

**Definitions**: let X be a set, and fix  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(X)$ 

- Subset  $Y \subseteq X$  is  $\sigma$ -invariant if  $\sigma[Y] = Y$
- Given  $y \in X$ , the  $\sigma$ -orbit of y is the smallest  $\sigma$ -invariant set containing y

$$O_{\sigma}(y) := \{ \sigma^m(y) : m \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

- the cycle created by repeatedly applying  $\sigma$  to y
- The support of  $\sigma$  is the  $\sigma$ -invariant set

$$\operatorname{supp}(\sigma) := \{ y \in X : \sigma(y) \neq y \}$$

- the set of all  $y \in X$  that was moved by  $\sigma$ 

# **Proposition**:

1. Supposing  $\sigma, \theta \in \text{Sym}(x)$  have disjoint supports then

$$\sigma\circ\theta=\theta\circ\sigma$$

- 2. Suppose  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(X)$  and that  $\text{supp}(\sigma) = Y \cup Z$  with Y and Z disjoint, non-empty, and  $\sigma$ -invariant
  - then there are  $\sigma_Y, \sigma_Z \in \text{Sym}(X)$  with

$$\operatorname{supp}(\sigma_Y) = Y$$
,  $\operatorname{supp}(\sigma_Z) = Z$  and  $\sigma = \sigma_Y \circ \sigma_Z = \sigma_Z \circ \sigma_Y$ 

#### **Proof**:

1. Given  $x \in X$  we have

$$\sigma \circ \theta(x) = \theta \circ \sigma(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \notin \text{supp}(\sigma) \cup \text{supp}(\theta) \\ \sigma(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{supp}(\sigma) \\ \theta(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{supp}(\theta) \end{cases}$$

*Remark*: this fails if the supports are *not disjoint* 

2. Define  $\sigma_Y, \sigma_Z \in \text{Sym}(X)$  where given  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\sigma_Y(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \notin Y \\ \sigma(x) & \text{if } x \in Y \end{cases} \qquad \sigma_Z(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \notin Z \\ \sigma(x) & \text{if } x \in Z \end{cases}$$

Now we check that  $\sigma_Y$  and  $\sigma_Z$  are bijections.

- Consider  $\sigma^{-1} \in \operatorname{Sym}(X)$  and define  $(\sigma^{-1})_Y : X \to X$  via

$$(\sigma^{-1})_Y(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \notin Y \\ \sigma^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in Y \end{cases}$$

As Y is  $\sigma$ -invariant it is also  $\sigma^{-1}$ -invariant (verify that  $(\sigma^{-1})_Y = (\sigma_Y)^{-1}$ )

- We can say that  $\sigma_Y$  is invertible and thus a bijection (similar argument for  $\sigma_Z$ )
- Notice that  $\operatorname{supp}(\sigma_Y) = Y$  and  $\operatorname{supp}(\sigma_Z) = Z$  and so

$$\sigma_y \circ \sigma_z(x) = \sigma_z \circ \sigma_y(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \notin Y \cup Z = \text{supp}(\sigma) \\ \sigma(x) & \text{if } x \in Y \\ \sigma(x) & \text{if } x \in Z \end{cases}$$

since they are disjoint we get  $\sigma_Y \circ \sigma_Z = \sigma$ 

**Proposition**: let  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(X)$  then given  $x, y \in X$  either

$$O_{\sigma}(x) = O_{\sigma}(y)$$
 or  $O_{\sigma}(x) \cap O_{\sigma}(y) = \emptyset$ 

**Proof**: suppose  $z \in O_{\sigma}(x) \cap O_{\sigma}(y)$ , we will show that  $O_{\sigma}(x) = O_{\sigma}(y) = O_{\sigma}(z)$ 

- Write  $z = \sigma^m(x)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so we also have  $x = \sigma^{-m}(z)$
- Given  $u \in O_{\sigma}(x)$  we have  $u = \sigma^n(x)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so we also have

$$u = \sigma^n(\sigma^{-m}(z)) = \sigma^{n-m}(z) \in O_\sigma(z)$$

• Given  $v \in O_{\sigma}(z)$  we have  $v = \sigma^k(z)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so we also have

$$v = \sigma^k(\sigma^m(x)) = \sigma^{k+m}(x) \in O_\sigma(x)$$

• Hence  $O_{\sigma}(x) = O_{\sigma}(z)$  and we can make a simular proof for  $O_{\sigma}(y) = O_{\sigma}(z)$ 

#### Permutation Cycles

**Definitions**: let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \text{Sym}(X)$ 

- Cycle is any  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(X)$  with exactly one non-trivial orbit (i.e. of size > 1)
- Size of a cycle is the size of its unique non-trivial orbit
- Disjoint when if cycles  $O_1, O_2 \subseteq X$  denotes unique non-trival orbits of  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  then  $O_1 \cup O_2 = \emptyset$

**Theorem:** any  $\sigma \in S_n$  can be written as the product of *finitely many pairwise-disjoint cycles* 

- We call such a product the disjoint cycle form of  $\sigma$
- The full disjoint cycle form is unique

**Proof**: let  $X = \{O_i : i \leq k\}$  list all non-trivial orbits of  $\sigma_i$ 

- Since each  $O_i$  is a subsets of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  then X is also finite
- Now perform induction on k where the inductive step is handled by earlier proposition

**Theorem:** let  $\sigma = \sigma_k \cdots \sigma_1 \in S_n$  be written in disjoint cycle form, then letting  $n_i = |\text{supp}(\sigma_i)|$ 

$$|\sigma| = \operatorname{lcm}(n_i : i \le k)$$

(i.e. lowest common multiple of the non-trivial orbit sizes)

**Proof**: given  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  then since disjoint cycles commute we have

$$\sigma^m = \sigma^m_k \circ \cdots \circ \sigma^m_1$$

- The order of each  $\sigma_i$  is  $n_i$ , so if m is a common multiple of each  $n_i$ , then  $\sigma^m = id_n$
- Conversely, if m was not a multiple of some  $n_i$  then  $\sigma_i^m \neq id_n$  which results in

$$\sigma^m(x) = (\sigma_k^m \cdots \sigma_1^m)(x) \neq x$$

• Thus it follows that for any  $x \in \operatorname{supp}(\sigma_i)$  that  $\sigma^m(x) \neq x$ 

#### Example:

• What are the possible orders of elements of  $S_8$ ?

- we know that  $|\sigma| = \operatorname{lcm}(n_i : i \leq k)$  and need  $\sum_{i \leq k} n_i \leq 8$  so the possible orders are

- How many elements in  $S_4$  have order 4?
  - We have 4 ways to partition 8 such that  $lcm(n_i : i \le k) = 4$

$$4+4$$
  $4+2+2$   $4+2+1+1$   $4+1+1+1$ 

- Now we count the number of cycles of length k we have

$$\operatorname{cycle}(n,k) := \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k}$$

- \* there are  $n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$  partial lists of length k in list of length n
- \* partial list is ordered tuple and don't want choosing unordered subsets:  $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}$

\* we want to preserve order but allow rotations to represent the same element

$$(1234) = (2341) = (3412) = (4123)$$

so there are k ordered tuples that represent the same cycle and  $\frac{n!}{(n-k)!k}$ 

- Using this we can count:
  - \* 4 + 4: 4-cycles picking order does not matter

$$\frac{\frac{8\cdot7\cdot6\cdot4}{4}\cdot\frac{4\cdot3\cdot2\cdot1}{4}}{2} = 1260$$

\* 4 + 2 + 2: 2-cycles picking order does not matter

$$\frac{\frac{8\cdot7\cdot6\cdot4}{4}\cdot\frac{4\cdot3}{2}\cdot\frac{4\cdot3}{2}}{2} = 1260$$

\* 4 + 2 + 1 + 1: 2520

\* 4 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1: 420

- As a result we conclude that  $S_8$  contains exactly 1260 + 1260 + 420 + 5460 elements of order 4

**Fact**: every  $\sigma \in S_n$  can be written as a product of 2 cycles (cycles of length 2)

• However unlike our disjoint cycle form which is unique, this product is not unique

**Proposition**: fix  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $id_n = \alpha_r \cdots \alpha_1$  with each  $\alpha_i$  a 2-cycle, then r is even **Proof**: we will prove by induction on r (TODO)

**Corollary**: for any  $\alpha \in S_n$  if  $\sigma = \alpha_r \cdots \alpha_1 = \beta_s \cdots \beta_1$  where the  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_j$  are 2-cycles then

 $r\equiv s \bmod 2$ 

**Proof**:  $\beta_1 \cdots \beta_s \alpha_r \cdots \alpha_1 = \mathrm{id}_n$  so r + s is even (the inverse of a 2-cycle is itself)

#### **Alternating Groups**

**Definition**: alternating group  $A_n$  is a subgroup of  $S_n$  which is defined as

 $A_n := \{ \sigma \in S_n : \sigma \text{ can be written with an } even \text{ number of 2-cycles} \}$ 

**Proposition**: if  $\sigma \in S_n$  and  $\sigma = \sigma_k \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_1$  is the disjoint cycle form. Let  $n_i = |\text{supp}(\sigma_i)|$  then

$$\sigma$$
 even  $\iff (n_1 + \cdots + n_k) - k$  even

**Proof**: each  $n_i$ -cycle can be written as a product of  $(n_i - 1)$ -many 2-cycles, i.e.

$$(a_1 \cdots a_{n_i}) = (a_1 a_2)(a_2 a_3) \cdots (a_{n_i - 1} a_{n_i})$$

Thus  $\sigma$  can be written as a product of  $(n_1 + \cdots + n_k) - k$  2-cycles

**Proposition**: for every  $n \ge 2$ 

$$|A_n| = \frac{n!}{2} = \frac{|S_n|}{2}$$

**Proof**: fix some 2-cycle  $g \in S_n$  then consider  $\lambda_g : S_n \to S_n$  given by  $\lambda_g(h) = gh$  which is a bijection.

- If  $h \in A_n$  then  $gh \notin A_n$
- If  $h \notin A_n$  then  $gh \in A_n$
- Hence  $\lambda_g[A_n] = S_n \setminus A_n$  (set subtraction) and since  $\lambda_g$  is a bijection we must have  $|A_n| = |S_n \setminus A_n|$

$$|S_n| = |A_n| + |S_n \setminus A_n| = 2|A_n| \implies |A_n| = |S_n|/2$$

#### Cayley's Theorem

**Theorem** (*Cayley's Theorem*): for any group G, there is a set X and subgroup  $H \leq \text{Sym}(X)$  with  $G \cong H$ 

• In fact, we can take X = G

**Proof**: for each  $g \in G$ , let  $\lambda_g : G \to G$  be defined via  $\lambda_g(h) = gh$ .

• We know that  $\lambda_y \in \text{Sym}(G)$  so define

$$\lambda: G \to \operatorname{Sym}(G) \quad \operatorname{via} \lambda(g) = \lambda_q$$

• To see that  $\lambda$  is injective, fix  $g \neq h \in G$  and consider  $\lambda_g$  and  $\lambda_h$  on input  $1_G$ 

$$\lambda_g(1_G) = g \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_h(1_G) = h \implies \lambda_g \neq \lambda_h$$

• To see that  $\lambda$  repects group ops, fix  $g, h \in G$  and consider  $\lambda_g \circ \lambda_h$  and  $\lambda_{gh}$ , fix some  $k \in G$  then

$$\lambda_g \circ \lambda_h(k) = \lambda_g(hk) = ghk \qquad \lambda_{gh}(k) = ghk$$

as a result

$$\lambda_g \circ \lambda_h = \lambda_{gh}$$

For "isomorphic to subgroup of" it suffices to find injection  $G \to \text{Sym}(X)$  which respects group operations

# Automorphisms, Conjugation, Normality, Cosets

# Automorphisms

**Definition**: let G be group, an *automorphism* of G is an isomorphism from G to itself.

- Let  $\operatorname{Aut}(G) \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}(G)$  denote the collection of automorphisms of G
- For every group, the identity map  $\operatorname{id}_G: G \to G$  is an automorphism, hence  $\operatorname{Aut}(G) \neq \emptyset$

**Proposition**: for any group G,  $Aut(G) \leq Sym(G)$  is a subgroup

**Proof**: we know that  $id_G \in Aut(G)$ 

- Also recall that the composition of two isomorphisms is also an isomorphism
  - so the composition of two automorphisms is also an automorphism (monoid)
- In addition, the inverse of an isomorphism is also isomorphic
  - so the inverse of an automorphism is an automorphism (group)

**Example**: the map  $\sigma : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is given by  $\sigma(n) = -n$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ 

• An isomorphism must send generators to generators so 1 must go to either 1 or -1

**Proposition**:  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n) \cong \mathbb{U}_n$ 

**Proof**: let  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ 

• Notice that if we can find  $\sigma(1) = a$  then this information completely determines  $\sigma$  by

$$\sigma(k)=\sigma(k\cdot 1)=k\cdot\sigma(1)=a\cdot k$$

 $-\sigma$  just becomes a multiplication by a

- Define  $\sigma_a:\mathbb{Z}_n\to\mathbb{Z}_n$  via the mapping  $\sigma_a(k)=a\cdot k$
- Every element of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  has the form  $\sigma_a$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$
- Find find that the mapping  $\sigma_a$  is bijective iff gcd(a, n) = 1 (i.e. if  $a \in U_n$ ) so

$$\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \{\sigma_a :\in \mathbb{U}_n\}$$

• Now we check that this is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{U}_n$  by considering the map  $\psi : \mathbb{U}_n \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  given by

$$\psi(a) = \sigma_a$$

• We find that for  $a, b \in \mathbb{U}_n$  we have

$$\psi(ab) = \sigma_{ab} = \sigma_a \circ \sigma_b = \psi(a) \circ \psi(b)$$

# Conjugation

**Definition**: fix a group G, given  $g \in G$  we define  $\phi_g : G \to G$  via  $\phi_g(x) := {}^g x = gxg^{-1}$ 

- We call  $\phi_g(x) = gxg^{-1}$  the left *conjugate* of x by g
- We saw similar notation of conjugate  $x^g = g^{-1}xg$  which is more-or-less equivalent to  ${}^g x = gxg^{-1}$
- The intuition for this is the action of x viewed in the perspective of g

**Proposition**: let G be a group then  $\phi_g \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ 

**Proof**: first note that  $\phi_{g^{-1}}$  is a 2-sided inverse of  $\phi_g$ , showing that  $\phi_g$  is bijective. Now fix  $x, y \in G$  then

$$\phi_g(xy) = gxyg^{-1} = gx(g^{-1}g)yg^{-1} = \phi_g(x) \cdot \phi_g(y)$$

**Definition**: given a group G we call  $\psi \in Aut(G)$  an *inner automorphism* if there is  $g \in G$  with  $\psi = \phi_g$ 

$$\operatorname{Inn}(G) := \{\phi_q : q \in G\} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(G)$$

denotes the collection of inner automorphisms of G.

**Proposition**:  $Inn(G) \leq Aut(G)$ 

**Proof**: we already know that  $Inn(G) \subseteq Aut(G)$  so

• Just need to verify that  $id_G = \phi_{1_G}$ , that  $\phi_g \circ \phi_h = \phi_{gh}$ , and that  $(\phi_g)^{-1} = \phi_{q^{-1}}$ 

#### Homomorphism

**Definition**: given groups G and H, a map  $\psi: G \to H$  is a homomorphism if for every  $x, y \in G$  we have

$$\psi(x \cdot y) = \psi(x) \cdot \psi(y)$$

- Note that the mapping does not have to be a bijection (or even injection/surjection)
- Every isomorphism is a homomorphism
  - since the definition of a homomorphism is a direct weakening of that of isomorphism

**Lemma**: let G, H groups and  $\psi: G \to H$  be a homomorphism

• Then  $\psi(1_G) = 1_H$  and for every  $g \in G$  we have  $(\psi(g))^{-1} = \psi(g^{-1})$ 

**Proof**: for all  $g \in G$ 

$$\psi(g) = \psi(1_G \cdot g) = \psi(1_G) \cdot \psi(g) \implies 1_H = \psi(1_G)$$
$$1_H = \psi(1_G) = \psi(g^{-1}g)\psi(g^{-1}) \cdot \psi(g) \implies (\psi(g))^{-1} = \psi(g^{-1})$$

**Proposition**: the map  $\phi: G \to \text{Inn}(G)$  is an isomorphism iff  $Z(G) = \{1_G\}$ 

- Recall that the center of a group is the set of group elements that commute with everything
- Furthermore, we have  $\phi_g = \phi_h$  iff  $g^{-1}h \in Z(G)$

# **Proof**:

- Recall that  $\operatorname{Inn}(G) := \{\phi_g : g \in G\}$  where  $\phi_g(x) = gxg^{-1}$
- The map  $\phi:G\to \mathrm{Inn}(G)$  defined via  $\phi(g)=\phi_g$  is a homomorphism since for  $g,h\in G$

$$\phi(gh) = \phi_{qh} = \phi_q \circ \phi_h = \phi(g) \circ \phi(h)$$

• We have two cases:

- suppose  $g \in Z(G)$  (g commutes with all elements in G) then given  $x \in G$ 

$$\phi_g(x) = gxg^{-1} = xgg^{-1} = x$$

- suppose  $g \in G$  with  $\phi_g = \mathrm{id}_G$ , then for any  $x \in G$ 

$$x = \phi_g(x) = gxg^{-1} \implies gx = xg$$

since x was arbitrary we have  $g \in Z(G)$ 

- For the furthermore allow  $a := g^{-1}h$  for ease of reading
  - if  $g^{-1}h \in Z(G)$  then given  $x \in G$

$$\phi_a(x) = aha^{-1} = xaa^{-1} = x \implies \phi_a = \mathrm{id}_G$$
$$\mathrm{id}_G = \phi_a = \phi_{g^{-1}h} = \phi_{g^{-1}} \circ \phi_h \implies \phi^g = \phi_h$$

- if  $g^{-1}h \notin Z(G)$  then there is some  $x \in G$  with

$$\begin{array}{rcl} ax \neq xa & \Longrightarrow & \phi_a(x) = axa^{-1} \neq xaa^{-1} = x & \Longrightarrow & \phi_a \neq \mathrm{id}_G\\ & \mathrm{id}_G \neq \phi_a = \phi_{g^{-1}h} = \phi_{g^{-1}} \circ \phi_h & \Longrightarrow & \phi^g \neq \phi_h \end{array}$$

• If Z(G) is non-trival then  $\phi$  is not an isomorphism as it would not be injective - since every  $g \in Z(G)$  would correspond to a  $\phi_g$  that equals  $\mathrm{id}_G$ 

**Example**: for  $G = D_8$ , understand the map  $\phi_8 \to \text{Inn}(D_8)$ , we know that

$$\operatorname{Inn}(D_8) = \{\phi_{\mathrm{id}_4}, \phi_{R_{90}}, \phi_{R_{180}}, \phi_{R_{270}}, \phi_F, \phi_{R_{90}\circ F}, \phi_{R_{180}\circ F}, \phi_{R_{270}\circ F}\}$$

However this list could have repeated elements

- We have seen that  $Z(D_8) = {id_4, R_{180} \circ F}$
- Thus for any  $g, h \in D_8$  we have

$$\phi_g = \phi_h \quad \iff \quad g^{-1}h \in \{ \mathrm{id}_4, R_{180} \circ F \} \quad \iff \quad h \in g \cdot \{ \mathrm{id}_4, R_{180} \circ F \}$$

• These possible sets of the form  $g \cdot {id_4, R_{180} \circ F}$  are exactly

 ${id_4, R_{180} \circ F}, \{R_{90}, R_{270} \circ F\}, \{R_{180}, F\}, \{R_{270}, R_{90}F\}$ 

• Hence  $\phi_g = \phi_h$  iff both of g and h belong to the same set among these 4 sets and  $|\text{Inn}(D_8)| = 4$ 

# Kernel

**Definition**: let G, H be groups and  $\psi: G \to H$  be a homomorphism

• The kernel of  $\psi$  is the set

$$\ker(\phi) := \{g \in G : \psi(g) = 1_H\}$$

• e.g. for  $\phi: G \to \text{Inn}(G)$  we know that  $\text{ker}(\phi) = Z(G)$ 

**Proposition**: let G, H be groups and  $\psi : G \to H$  be a homomorphism. Then  $\ker(\psi) \leq G$  is a subgroup **Proof**:

• To see that  $\ker(\psi)$  is a semigroup, if  $g, h \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$  then

$$\psi(g \cdot h) = \psi(g) \cdot \psi(h) = 1_H \cdot 1_H = 1_G$$

hence  $g \cdot h \in \ker(\psi)$ 

• Note that

$$\psi(1_G) = \psi(1_G \cdot 1_G) = \psi(1_G) \cdot \psi(1_G) \implies 1 = \phi(1_G)$$

hence  $1_G \in \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)$ 

• Now suppose  $g \in \ker(\psi)$  then

$$\psi(g^{-1} \cdot g) = 1_H = \psi(g^{-1}) \cdot \psi(g) = \psi(g^{-1}) \quad \to \quad 1_H = \phi(g^{-1})$$

hence  $g^{-1} \in \operatorname{Ker}(\phi)$ 

# Normality

**Definition**: let G be a group. A subgroup  $K \leq G$  is called *normal* (in G) if  $\forall g \in G$ 

$$gKg^{-1} = K$$

- If  $K \leq G$  is normal we write  $K \leq G$ .
- Note that  $gKg^{-1} := \{gxg^{-1} : x \in K\}$
- Warning:  $K \trianglelefteq H$  and  $H \trianglelefteq G$  do *not* in general imply  $K \trianglelefteq G$

**Proposition**: let  $\psi : G \to H$  be a homomorphism, then  $\ker(\psi) \trianglelefteq G$ 

**Proof**: Let  $x \in \ker(\psi)$  and let  $g \in G$  then

$$\psi(gxg^{-1}) = \psi(g)\psi(x)\psi(g^{-1}) = \psi(g)\psi(g^{-1}) = 1_H$$

Hence  $gxg^{-1} \in \ker(\psi)$  so  $\ker(\psi) \trianglelefteq G$ 

#### Cosets

**Definition**: let G be a group and  $H \leq G$ .

- Left coset of H in G is a subset of G of the form  $gH = \{gh : h \in H\}$  for some  $g \in G$
- Right coset of H in G is a subset of G of the form  $Hg = \{hg : h \in H\}$  for some  $g \in G$

**Definition**: for  $H \leq G$  we also have the *set* of left/right cosets

- $G/H := \{gH : g \in G\}$  denotes the set of left cosets of H in G
- $G/H := \{Hg : g \in G\}$  denotes the set of right cosets of H in G

Let  $H \leq G$  and  $g \in G$ , the following are some basic facts about cosets:

- $g \in gH$  and  $g \in Hg$
- |gH| = |H| = |Hg| (due to there existing a bijection between them)
- $(gH)^{-1} := \{k^{-1} : k \in gH\} = Hg^{-1}$  (left coset becomes right coset, and vice versa)
- $H \trianglelefteq G$  iff gH = Hg for every  $g \in G$

**Example**:  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H = 5\mathbb{Z}$ 

- We use additive notation for this group (which by convention means the group is Abelian)
- There are 5 cosets of H in G which are  $k + 5\mathbb{Z}$  as k ranges over members of  $\mathbb{Z}_5$

 $-g = 0 \text{ then } 5\mathbb{Z} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \equiv 0 \mod 5\}$  $-g = 1 \text{ then } 1 + 5\mathbb{Z} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \equiv 1 \mod 5\}$  $-g = 2 \text{ then } 2 + 5\mathbb{Z} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \equiv 2 \mod 5\}$  $-g = 3 \text{ then } 3 + 5\mathbb{Z} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \equiv 3 \mod 5\}$  $-g = 4 \text{ then } 4 + 5\mathbb{Z} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \equiv 4 \mod 5\}$ 

**Lemma:** if  $g, k \in G$  a group with  $H \leq G$  and  $k \in gH$  then kH = gH (similarly for right cosets) **Proof:** since as  $k \in gH$  we find  $h \in H$  with k = gh then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} k=gh & \Longrightarrow & kH=ghH=g(hH)\subseteq gH\\ \\ g=kh^{-1} & \Longrightarrow & gH=kh^{-1}H=k(h^{-1}H)\subseteq kH \end{array}$$

Then using that  $kH \subseteq gH$  and  $gH \subseteq kH$  we have kH = gH as expected.

**Example**: choosing  $G = \mathbb{Z}_8$  and subgroup  $H = \{0, 4\}$ 

• The cosets G/H are  $\{0,4\}, \{1,5\}, \{2,6\}, \{3,7\}$ 

**Example**: choosing  $G = D_8$  and subgroup  $H = Z(D_8) = {id_4, R_{180} \circ F}$ 

• Since  $H \leq G$  the left and right cosets are = right cosets

$$\{\mathrm{id}_4, R_{180} \circ F\}, \{R_{90}, R_{270} \circ F\}, \{R_{180}, F\}, \{R_{270}, R_{90} \circ F\}$$

once we see all the elements of G we are basically done

**Proposition**: suppose G a group,  $H \leq G$ , and  $g, k \in G$ .

• Then either gH = kH or  $gH \cap kH = \emptyset$  (similar for right cosets)

# **Proof**:

• Fix  $x \in gH \cap kH$ , then there are  $h_1, h_1 \in H$  with

$$x = gh_0 = kh_1$$

- since  $k = gh_0h_1^{-1}$  we have  $kH \subseteq gH$
- since  $g = gh_1h_0^{-1}$  we have  $gH \subseteq kH$
- As a result we get gH = kH whenever  $gH \cap kH \neq \emptyset$

#### Lagrange's Theorem

**Definition**: let G be a group and  $H \leq G$ . The *index* of H in G is the number of left cosets of H in G |G:H| := |G/H|

**Theorem** (Lagrange's Theorem): Let G be a finite group and  $H \leq G$ . Then |H| divides |G|

**Proof**: we will show that the set of left cosets of H in G partition G, and each coset has size |H|

- Let G be a finite group with order n and  $H \leq G$  be a subgroup
  - notice that  $\mathrm{id}_G \in H$
  - if we pick  $g \in G$  with  $g \notin H$  we can construct gH with

$$H \cap gH = \emptyset$$

since that would require  $gh_i = h_j$  for some i, j however

$$gh_1 = h_j \implies g = h_j h_i^{-1} \in H$$

which contradicts that  $g \notin H$ 

- if we pick another  $g' \in G$  with  $g' \notin H$  and  $g' \notin gH$  we can show that

$$gH \cap g'H = \emptyset$$

since if there is an overlapping element then  $gh_i = g'h_j$  for some i.j however

$$gh_i = g'h_j \implies gh_ih_j^{-1} = g' \implies g' \in gH$$

which contradicts that  $g'\not\in gH$  (similar argument for  $H\cap g'H=\emptyset)$ 

• Repeating this we get a non-overlapping set of left cosets

$$\{H, g_1H, g_2H, \ldots, g_nH\}$$

notice that this set is just G/H

- the definition of  $G/H = \{gH : g \in G\}$  has repeating elements

- Each of these cosets have the size |H| so by construction we know G/H partitions G into cosets
- Using the index of H in G as |G:H| = |G/H| we see that

$$|G| = |H| \cdot |G/H|$$

as a result |H| divides |G|

**Corollary**: |G:H| = |G/H| = |G|/|H|

**Corollary**: for G finite group with  $g \in G$  we know  $|g| = |\langle g \rangle|$  divides |G|

(since  $\langle g \rangle \leq G$ )

Corollary: groups of prime order are cyclic

**Proof**: let G have prime order then the only subgroups of G are itself or  $\{1_G\}$ 

- If  $G = \{1_G\}$  then cyclic
- Otherwise picking any  $g \neq 1_G$  leads to  $\langle g \rangle = G$ 
  - since  $|\langle g \rangle|$  must divide |G| which is prime
  - while  $g \neq 1_G$  so  $|\langle g \rangle| \neq 1$  so  $|\langle g \rangle| = p$  and G is cyclic

**Corollary**: for any finite group G and  $g \in G$ ,  $g^{|G|} = 1_G$ **Proof**: order of g divides order of G so |G| = q|g| for some  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  then

$$g^{|G|} = g^{|g| \cdot q} = (g^{|g|})^q = 1_G^q = 1_G$$

**Corollary** (*Fermat's Little Theorem*): for every integer  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and prime p

$$a^p \equiv a \mod p$$

**Proof**: we may write a = qp + r for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ 

- If r = 0 then  $a^p \equiv a \equiv 0 \mod p$
- If  $r \neq 0$  then  $r \in \mathbb{U}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$  (since when p prime,  $\mathbb{U}_p$  has a order of p-1) then

$$a^p \equiv r^p \equiv (r^{p-1})r \equiv 1 \cdot r \equiv r \equiv a \mod p$$

since  $r^{p-1} = r^{|\mathbb{U}_p|} = 1$ 

**Example**: converse of Lagrange's theorem is not true in general

- Consider  $A_4$  and since  $|S_4| = 4!$  we have  $|A_4| = |S_4|/2 = 12$
- We will show that  $A_4$  has no subgroup of order 6
- The elements of  $A_4$ 
  - $id_4$
  - -2 2-cycles:

$$\frac{\frac{4\cdot3}{2}\cdot\frac{2\cdot1}{2}}{2} = 3$$

- 3-cycle:

$$\frac{4\cdot 3\cdot 2}{3}=8$$

- If there is a subgroup  $H \leq A_4$  with |H| = 6 it would need to contain at least 2 3-cycle elements
- Fix  $a \in A_4$  with |a| = 3 then ...

### **Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem**

**Definition**: let G be a group and  $g \in G$  then

$$\operatorname{Stab}_G(g) := \{g \in G : g(x) = x\}$$

**Example**: for  $G = S_6$  and  $H = \operatorname{Stab}_G(1)$  what is G/H

- Consider some left coset gH, if  $h \in H$  then gh(1) = g(1)
- Conversely, suppose  $g, k \in G$  satisfy  $g(1) = k(1) = \ell$  for some  $\ell \leq 6$  then

$$g^{-1}k(1) = g^{-1}(\ell) = 1 \implies g^{-1}k \in H \implies k \in gH$$

as a result hK = gH

• So left cosets of H in G are exactly sets of the form

$$\{g \in S_6 : g(1) = \ell\}$$
 for  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$ 

**Theorem** (*Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem*): let X be a set,  $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$  then for  $x \in X$ 

$$|G| = |O_G(x)| \cdot |\operatorname{Stab}_G(x)|$$

**Proof**: we know that |G|/|H| = |G/H| so it suffices to find a bijection from G/H to  $O_G(x)$ 

- $g, h \in G$  belongs the same left *H*-coset iff g(x) = h(x)
- Thus the map  $F: G/H \to O_G(x)$  given by f(gH) = g(x) is well-defined and injective

• f is a bijction since if  $O_G(x)$  then  $\exists g \in G$  with g(x) = y so f(gH) = g(x) = y

**Theorem:** let G be a group. let  $H, K \leq G$  be finite subgroups, then  $|HK| = \{hk : h \in H, k \in K\}$ 

- Then  $|HK| = |H| \cdot |K|/|H \cap K|$
- While HK may not be a group the  $H \cap K$  is always a subgroup

**Proof**: form the cartesian product  $H \times K$  (as sets) and the map  $\pi : H \times K \to HK$  given by  $\pi(h, k) = hk$ 

- $\pi$  is surjective and we claim that  $\pi$  is  $|H \cap K|$ -to-1
- Fix some  $x = hk \in HK$  for every  $t \in H \cap K$  then also  $x = (ht)(t^{-1}k)$
- So if we have one way of representing x then we have  $|H \cap K|$  other ways
- So  $|\pi^{-1}(\{x\})| \ge |H \cap T|$
- Conversely, if x = h'k' for some  $h' \in H$  and  $k' \in K$  then

$$x = hk = h'k' \implies (k')^{-1}(k')^{-1}hk = 1_G \implies (h')^{-1}h = h'k^{-1} \in H \cap K$$

• set  $t = h^{-1}(h') = k(k')^{-1}$  then h' = ht and  $k' = t^{-1}k$ 

**Theorem:** if G a group and H, K are subgroups of G with at least one normal in G then

$$HK \leq G$$

**Proof**: suppose wlog that H is normal to G then HK = KH (which can be used to prove subgroup)

- show that  $id_G \in HK$  and that HK is closed under composition and inverses
- the fact that HK = KH is used to show closed under inverses

**Example**: given a group of order 2p, p > 2 prime we have two groups of order 2p

$$\mathbb{Z}_{2p}$$
  $D_{2p}$ 

**Theorem:** up to isomorphism, these are the only groups of order 2p

**Proof**: let G be a group of order 2p

- If  $\exists g \in G$  with |g| = 2p then  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2p}$
- Otherwise  $\forall g \in G$  we have  $|g| \neq 2p$ 
  - first a bit about  $D_{2p}$  generated by a rotation r of order p and a flip of order 2
  - Then  $F \circ r = r^{p-1} \circ F$
- claim: G has an element of order p
  - In particular  $\forall g \in G \ g = g^{-1}$  hence

$$gh = (gh)^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1} = hg$$

so G is abelian and any  $g + h \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$  generate the subgroup

 $\{1_G, g, h, gh\}$ 

and nothing else (since closed under inverses  $g = g^{-1}$ , closed under product since everything has order 2)

- However this contradicts Lagrange's theorem since  $4 \nmid 2p$
- Thus there is an element of p

• Fix  $r \in G$  with |r| = p let  $F \notin \langle r \rangle$ 

- If p then  $|\langle r \rangle \cdot \langle F \rangle| = |\langle r \rangle| \cdot |\langle F \rangle| / |\langle r \rangle \cap \langle F \rangle| = p \cdot p/1 = p^2 > 2p$
- as the only proper subgroup of  $\langle F \rangle$  is of order p or 1 because Lagrange
- so |F| = 2 and now consider  $r \cdot F$  as

$$r \cdot F \not\in \langle r \rangle, \ |rF| = 2$$

so  $(rF)^{-1} = rF$  but also  $F^{-1} \circ r^{-1} = F \circ r^{p-1}$ 

- so  $r \cdot F = F \cdot r^{p-1}$  then if a group has this then it is isomorphic to the dihedral group

# Products

**Definition**: let G and H be groups their *direct product* is defined as

$$G \times H = \{(g,h) : g \in G, h \in H\}$$

• With two elements from  $G \times H$  we have

$$(g_1, h_1) \cdot (g_2, h_2) = (g_1g_2, h_1h_2)$$

• Textbook uses notation  $G \oplus H$  but they are the same if working with finitely many groups.

Example: direct products are useful for creating new groups

• Cyclic groups: for positive integers m, n then

$$\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{mn} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \gcd(m, n) = 1$$

• Unit groups: given positive integers m, n with gcd(m, n) then

$$\mathbb{U}_{mn}\cong\mathbb{U}_m\times\mathbb{U}_n$$

• Permutation groups: if X, Y disjoint sets,  $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$  and  $H \leq \text{Sym}(Y)$  then

 $G \times H$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $Sym(X \cup Y)$ 

### **Direct Products of Cyclic Groups**

**Warmup**: groups of order 6: there are only two  $S_3 = D_6$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ 

$$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$$

(1,1) has order 6:

$$(1,1), (2,0), (0,1), (1,0), (2,1), (0,0)$$

Given positive integers m, n can try the same thing for  $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ : is (1, 1) a generator?

**Theorem:**  $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$  is cyclic iff gcd(m, n) = 1**Proof:** first note that for any k, m, n and any  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ 

$$k \cdot (a, b) = (ka, kb)$$

so if both  $n \mid k$  and  $m \mid k$  then (a, b) has order of most k. In particular

$$|(a,b)| \le \operatorname{lcm}(n,m) = \frac{nm}{\operatorname{gcd}(n,m)}$$

- so if  $gcd(m, n) \neq 1$  then no element of  $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$  has order mn
- if gcd(m, n) = 1 then (1, 1) has order exactly m, n (exercise)

by calegy's theorem every group can be represented as a subgroup of a permutation group

Let X, Y be disjoint sets  $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$  and  $H \leq \text{Sym}(Y)$ 

**Claim**:  $G \times H$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $Sym(X \cup Y)$ 

**Proof** (*sketch*):

- we just need a injective homomorphim from  $G \times H$  to  $Sym(X \cup Y)$
- we can just take for  $(a, b) \in G \times H$  that since they are disjoint they just do their own thing (since they are disjoint) as an element of  $Sym(X \cup Y)$

Unit groups: given positive integers m, n with gcd(m, n) = 1 we have

$$\mathbb{U}_{mn} \cong \mathbb{U}_m \times \mathbb{U}_n$$

idea:

$$\psi: \mathbb{U}_{mn} \to \mathbb{U}_m \times \mathbb{U}_n$$

via  $\phi(x) = (x \mod m, x \mod n)$  (exercise, or just check the book)

## Gauss's Theorem

**Theorem** (*Gauss*):

- $\mathbb{U}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_1$
- $\mathbb{U}_{2^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-2}} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  for  $n \ge 2$
- $\mathbb{U}_{p^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^n p^{n-1}}$  for odd p prime and  $n \ge 1$

## **Isomorphism of Products**

Given group G and subgroups  $H, K \leq G$  when do we have  $G \cong H \times K$ ?

Necessary conditions:

- G = HK
- $H \cap K = \{1_G\}$  (we cannot have repeats in  $H \times K$ ???)
- elements of H commute with elements of K

$$(h, 1_K) \cdot (1_H, k) = (h, k) = (1_H, k) \cdot (h, 1_K)$$

• In particular, both H, K are normal subgroups of G

$$(h,k)(H \times \{1_K\})(h^{-1},k^{-1}) = hHh^{-1} \times \{kk^{-1}\} = H \times \{1_K\}$$

same for the reverse

these are actually also the sufficient conditions

**Theorem:** let G be a group and  $H, K \trianglelefteq G$  are normal subgroups of G s.t. G = HK and  $H \cup K = \{1_G\}$  then  $G \cong H \times K$ 

# Factor Maps

Recall that  $\psi: G \to H$  is a homomorphism (map that repects group ops), then

$$\ker(\psi) := \{g \in G : \psi(g) = 1_H\} \trianglelefteq G$$

it turns out that every normal subgroup is the kernel of some homomorphism.

**Lemma**: G a group and  $K \trianglelefteq G$  then for any  $g, h \in G$ ,

$$gKhK = ghK$$

**Proof**: g(Kh)K = g(hK)K = ghK since K is normal so left and right cosets are the same

**Definition**: G a group and  $K \leq G$  define a binary op on G/K via for  $g, h \in G$ 

$$(gK) \cdot (hK) = ghK$$

**Example**:  $G = \mathbb{Z}, K = 5\mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$G/K = \{n + 5\mathbb{Z} : n \in \mathbb{Z}_5\}$$

given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  then

$$(a+5\mathbb{Z}) + (b+5\mathbb{Z}) = (a+b) + 5\mathbb{Z}$$
$$= (a+b \mod 5) + 5\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem: the binary operation from the previous definition is a group operation

**Proof**: we first mention that since multiplication on G is associative, also the binary operation on G/K is associative (exercise) so we at least have a semigroup

• if  $gK \in G/K$  then

 $K \cdot gK = gK \cdot K = gK$ 

so  $K = 1_{G/K}$  is a 2-sided id

• also  $(gK)(g^{-1}K) = (g^{-1}K)(gK) = K$  so gK has 2-sided inverse

**Theorem:** G a group and  $K \leq G$  then the map  $\pi_K : G \to G/K$  given by

$$\pi_K(g) = gK$$

is a surjective hom with kernel  ${\cal K}$ 

**Proof**: given  $g, h \in G$ 

$$\pi_K(gh) = ghK = gK \cdot hK$$
$$= \pi_K(g)\pi_K(h)$$

- if  $k \in K$  then  $\pi_K(k) = kK = K$
- if  $g \notin K$  then  $\pi_K(g) = gK \neq K$  so

$$\ker(\pi_K) = K$$

Let G, H be groups and  $\psi: G \to h$  be a homomorphism

**Fact**:  $Im(\psi) \leq H$  a subgroup (exercise)

$$\operatorname{Im}(\psi) = \{\psi(g) : g \in G\}$$

Let  $K = \ker(\psi)$ , last time we produced a specific group G/K and homomorphism  $\pi_K : G \to G/K$  with kernel K

## First Isomorphism Theorem

**Theorem** (*First Isomorphism Theorem*): let  $\psi : G \to H$  be a homomorphism then

$$G/\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) \cong H$$

**Proof**: assume  $\psi$  is surjective, i.e.  $H = \text{Im}(\psi)$  and let  $K = \text{Ker}(\psi)$ 

• Let  $\sigma: G/\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) \to H$  be defined by

$$\sigma(gK) = \psi(g)$$

- to check that  $\sigma$  is well defined suppose  $k \in K$  then for  $g \in G$ 

$$\sigma(gkK) = \psi(gk) = \psi(g) \cdot \psi(k) = \psi(g) \cdot 1_H = \psi(g)$$

• Check  $\sigma$  is a bijection, as  $\psi$  is a surjection, so is  $\sigma$ 

suppose  $g_0, g_1 \in G$  are such that

$$\sigma(g_0K) = \sigma(g_1K) \iff \psi(g_0) = \psi(g_1) \iff \psi(g_0^{-1}g_1) = 1_H$$

i.e.  $g_0^{-1}g_1 \in K$ , this happens iff  $g_0K = g_1K$  so  $\sigma$  injective

•  $\sigma$  respects group ops: let  $g_0K, g_1K \in G/K$  then

$$\sigma(g_0K \cdot g_1K) = \sigma(g_0g_1K) = \psi(g_0g_1) = \psi(g_0 \cdot \psi(g_1) = \sigma(g_0K) \cdot \sigma(g_1K)$$

**Example**: let  $\psi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_n$  be the homomorphism given by  $\psi(m) = m \mod n$ .  $\psi$  is surjective

$$\ker(\psi) = n\mathbb{Z}$$

so  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$  (so they are the same up to isomorphism)

**Example**: let  $\psi : S_n \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  be given by

$$\psi(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g \in A_n \\ 1 & \text{if } g \notin A_n \end{cases}$$

 $\psi$  is a homomorphism (exercise) and  $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) = A_n$  so  $S_n/A_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ 

**Example**: consider  $D_8$ , let  $K \leq D_8$  be the subgroup of rotations.

$$|D_8/K| = 2$$
 so  $D_8/K = \mathbb{Z}_2$ 

we are basically just forgetting all the rotations so the only thing we remember is if we flip the square or not

Recall given groups  $K \leq G$  that the index of K in G is

$$|G:K| := |G/K|$$

**Prop**: let G be a group and  $K \leq G$  on index 2 subgroup, then  $K \leq G$ 

## **Proof**: fix $g \in G$

- if  $g \in K$  then gK = Kg
- if  $g \notin K$  then  $gK = \{g \in G : g \notin K\}$  and also  $Kg = \{g \in G : g \notin K\}$  so gK = Kg

**Definition**: let  $H \leq G$ , the normalizer of H in G is the set  $\{g \in G : gHg^{-1} = H\} = N_G(H)$ exercise:  $N_G(H) \leq G$  and  $H \leq N_G(H)$ 

#### Prop:

$$C_G(H) = \{g \in G : \forall h \in H \ ghg^{-1} = h\} \leq N_G(H)$$

furthermore

$$N_G(H)/C_G(H) \cong$$
 a subgroup of Aut(H)

Remark: this is just a subgroup veroisn of the result

$$G/Z(G) \cong \operatorname{Im}(G) \trianglelefteq \operatorname{Aut}(G)$$

**Proof**: define  $\psi : N_G(H) \to \operatorname{Aut}(H)$  to be given by  $\psi(g) = \phi_g$  where we recall that  $\psi_g(h) = ghg^{-1}$ 

- this a homomorphism (easy to show)
- the kernel of  $\psi$  is  $C_G(H)$  (exercise)
- then by First Iso Theorem (FIT) we are done

Last week: (first isomorphim theorem) if  $\psi: G \to H$  is a surjective homomorphism and  $K = \ker(\psi)$  then  $H \cong G/K$ 

#### Normalizer

**Definition**: the *normalizer* of  $H \leq G$  is the set

$$N_G(H) := \{ g \in G : gHg^{-1} = H \}$$

**Lemma**:  $N_G(H) \leq G$  and that  $C_G(H) \leq N_G(H)$ 

**Proof**: TODO

**Theorem** (Normalizer-Centralizer Theorem): if  $H \leq G$  then

- There exists a homomorphism  $\psi: N_G(H) \to \operatorname{Aut}(H)$  with  $\ker(\psi) = C_G(H)$
- So we get  $C_G(H) \leq N_G(H)$  and

 $N_G(H)/C_G(H) \cong$  some subgroup of Aut(H)

**Proof**: recall that  $C_G(H) := \{g \in G : \forall h \in H, ghg^{-1} = h\}$  TODO

**Example**: every group of order  $35 = 5 \times 7$  is cyclic

**Proof**: assume G is not cyclic (no element of order 35) towards a contradiction

- Begin by noting that every non-id group element has order 5 or 7 (by Lagrange)
- The number of elements  $g \in G$  with order 5 is a multiple of  $\phi(5) = 4$

- Since  $4 \nmid 34$  we cannot have element of order 5

- Similarly  $\phi(7) = 6$  is not a multiple of 34 so we cannot have every element of order 7
- Thus G has non-identity elements of both possible orders
- Let  $H \leq G$  have order 7 (subgroup generated by taking an element of order 7)
  - If  $K \leq G$  is a different subgroup of order 7 then we have

$$|HK| = |H| \cdot |K|/|H \cap K| = 49$$

as a result H is the *unique* subgroup of order 7

– We have  $H \leq G$ , i.e.  $N_G(H) = G$ , since H is cyclic and also

$$H \le C_G(H) \le G$$

since  $|C_G(H)|$  divides 35 we have  $C_G(H) = H$  or G

- \* we know that H is the only subgroup of order 7 and  $gHg^{-1}$  is of order 7 so  $gHg^{-1} = H$
- if  $C_G(H) = G$  (every group element communates with elements of H) then take any non-id  $h \in H$ and any  $k \in G$  of order 5 (which must exist) and since h and k commute |hk| = 35 (wtf is this theorem, why do we need commute), which contradictions assumption that G is not cyclic
- otherwise if  $C_G(H) = H$  then  $N_G(H)/C_G(H)$  (normalizer mod centeralizer) has order 5 but by the normalizer-cenetralizer theorem (NC theorem) this is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$  and  $\operatorname{Aut}(H) \cong \mathbb{U}_7$  which is a group of size 6
  - so somehow we have found that a group of order 5 is isomorphic to a subgroup of a group(???) with an order of 6, so contradiciton!

# Finite Abelian Groups

**Theorem** (Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups): let G be an Abelian group with

$$|G| = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_k^{n_k}$$

where  $p_i$ 's are prime and  $n_i$  are positive integers then

- $G \cong G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_k$  where each  $G_i$  is cyclic and  $|G_i| = p_i^{n_i}$
- The direct sum is unique up to rearranging and each  $G_i$  is unique up to isomorphism

**Theorem** (IDK): a finite Abelian group is isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups of prime-power order, where this decomposition is unique up to the order in which the factors are written

**Proof**: split up this to be proved into two parts *later* 

Example: all Abelian groups of order 16 up to isomorphism

 $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \qquad \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \qquad \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8 \qquad \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \qquad \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ 

The Fundamental theorem of Finite Abelian Groups is actually saying every finite Abelian group G is isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups of the form

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_n^{\alpha_n}}$$

where  $p_i$ 's are primes but are not necessarily distinct (is each  $G_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ ???)

**Corollary**: if G is a finite Abelian group of order n and  $d \mid n$  then  $\exists H \leq G$  with |H| = d

- The converse of Lagrange's theorem holds
- Easy to show from the Fundamental Theorem (Corollary 8 in notes)
- Also recall that any subgroup of a cyclic group is also cyclic

distinctness of the stuff in example: let p be a prime and let  $n_1, \ldots, n_k, m$  be positive integers, then how many elements of order  $p^m$  are there in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n_1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n_k}}$ ? (where  $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \cdots \leq n_k$ )

- If  $m > n_k$  then none (as we are looking at the lcm of the orders of the stuff)
- let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n_k}}$  has order  $p^{n_k}$ , then so does  $(0, 0, \dots, g) = \overline{g}$  let  $H\langle \overline{g} \rangle$ 
  - then  $G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n_1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n_{k-1}}}$
  - inductively suppose we know the number of elements of every possible order in G/H

**Example**  $G = \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9$ ,  $H = \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8$  then G/H has 4 elements of order 8, 1 of order 2, or 2 elements of order 4, 1 of order 1(???)

so taking these and adding another coordinate with an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ 

- order 8: 48
- order 4: 12
- order 2: 3
- order 1: 1

Monday: Finite Abelian groups

**Theorem**: let G be a finite Abelian group then G is isomorphic to a direct-product of cyclic groups each with prime power order. Furthermore, this decomposition is unique.

to start, focus on the case where  $|G| = p^N$  for some prime p and  $N \ge 1$ 

If  $G_1, \ldots, G_n$  have orders  $p^{m_1}, \ldots, p^{m_n}$  resp. how mnay elements of each possible order are there?

### Example:

- consider  $\mathbb{Z}_{25}$  the possible orders are 1, 5, 25
  - 1 elements of order 1
  - -4 elements of order 5 (???)
  - -20 elements of order 25 (???)
- equivalently we can say that we have
  - 1 elements of order at most 1
  - 5 elements of order at most 5
  - 25 elements of order at most 25
- more generally, in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^N}$  given  $1 \leq k \leq N$  there are exactly  $p^k$ -many elements of order at most  $p^k$ , namely the multiples of  $p^{N-k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^N}$

**Proposition**: fix a prime p and integers  $m_1 \ge \cdots m_n \ge 1$  for the group

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m_n}}$$

given  $k \ge 1$ 

- let  $j \leq n$  be the largest with  $m_j \geq k$  (if j exists or j = 0 when j does not exist).
- Then G has exactly  $(p^{jk} \cdot p^{m_{j+1}} \cdots p^{m_n})$ -many elements of order at most  $p^k$

**Proof**: recall that given groups  $G_1, \ldots, G_n$  and  $g_i \in G$  for  $i \leq n$  the order of  $(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$  is just the lcm of order of  $g_i \in G$ .

• if  $G_i = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m_i}}$  then  $(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$  has order  $\leq p^k$  iff each  $g_i$  the formula now follows

**Proposotion**: fix p a prime and integers  $m_1 \ge \cdots \ge m_n \ge 1$  and  $a_1 \ge \cdots \ge a_\ell \ge 1$  write

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m_n}} \qquad H = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{a_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{a_\ell}}$$

then  $G \cong H$  iff  $(m_1, \ldots, m_n) = (a_1, \ldots, a_\ell)$ 

**Proof**: obvious when equal, assume  $(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \neq (a_1, \ldots, a_\ell)$ 

- if  $|G| \neq |H|$  they cannot be isomorphic
- so let us assume  $m_1 + \cdots + m_n = a_1 + \cdots + a_\ell$ . let  $j \ge 1$  be least with  $m_j \ne a_n$  (note that  $j \le \min\{n, \ell\}$ ) and assume  $m_j < a_j$  WLOG
- now consider in G and in H the number of elements of order at most  $p^{m_j}$ 
  - In G, this number is exactly  $p^{j \cdot m_j} \cdot p^{m_{j+1}} \cdots p^{m_n}$
  - In H, this number is at most  $p^{j \cdot m_j} \cdot p^{a_{j+1}} \cdots p^{a_{\ell}}$
- since  $m_{j+1} + \cdots + m_n > a_{j+1} + \cdots + a_\ell$  (since  $m_j < a_j$ ) we conclude that  $G \not\cong H$

now work towards existence part of main thm, i.e. G can be written as a production of cyclic groups.

**Lemma:** say G Abelian of order  $p^n$  with p prime and  $n \ge 1$ . If  $a \in G$  has max possible order, then  $G \cong \langle a \rangle \times K$  for some  $K \le G$  (where K could be written as a cyclic group)

#### **Proof**:

- if n = 1, then G is cyclic and we are done  $G \cong G \times \{1\}$  (iso to itself direct product the trival subgroup) (since every group of prime order is cyclic)
- Now assume the proposition is true for groups of order  $p^k$  for k < n. fix  $a \in G$  of max possible order, say  $|a| = p^m$  for some  $m \le n$ . Might as well take m < n.
- Now choose a  $b \notin \langle a \rangle$  (note that b cannot be identity) of least possible order
- claim:  $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle = \{1_G\}$ 
  - as  $|b^p| = |b|/p$  we have  $b^p \in \langle a \rangle$
  - say  $b^p = a^i$  now  $1_G = b^{p^m} = (a^i)^{p^{m-1}}$  so  $|a^i| \le p^{m-1}$
  - so i = pj for some integer j
  - let  $c = a^{-j}b$ , we have  $c \notin \langle a \rangle$  since  $b \notin \langle a \rangle$
- so  $c^p = a^{-jp}b^p = a^{-i}b^p = 1_G$  so |c| = p hence |b| = p and  $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle = \{1_G\}$ 
  - if there is a non-trival intersection then b must entirely intersect a due to the choice of b (???)
- Now form  $\overline{G} = G/\langle b \rangle$ . given  $x \in G$  write  $\overline{x}$  for  $x\langle b \rangle$
- note that  $|\bar{a}| = p^m$  since if  $\bar{a}^{p^{m-1}} = 1_G$ , i.e.  $a^{p^{m-1}} \in \langle b \rangle$ , i.e.  $a^{p^{m-1}} = 1_G$ , contradiction (we assume that  $|a| = p^m$ )
- so  $\bar{a}$  as max possible order in  $\bar{G}$
- by induction  $\overline{G} \cong \langle \overline{a} \rangle \times \overline{k}$ . we set

$$K = \{a \in G : \bar{x} \in K\}$$

where  $\bar{x} = x \langle b \rangle$  (we claim this works but still need to check)

exercise: we claim  $G = \langle a \rangle \cdot K$  and  $\langle a \rangle \cap K = \{1_G\}$ 

**Theorem** (Abelian case of Cauchy's theorem): if G is a finite Abelian group and  $p \mid |G|$  then G contains an element of order p

#### **Proof**: induction on |G|

• base case: for groups of size 1 there is nothing to show

- inductive hypo: let |G|=n>1 and assume this result holds for all finite Abelian group of order < n
- inductive step: fix  $g \in G, g \neq 1_G$  we may assume that  $p \nmid |g|$  (otherwise we are done?)
  - write  $H = \langle g \rangle$  (noting that  $p \nmid |H|$ ) then G/H is a smaller finite Abelian group with  $p \mid |G/H|$
  - by induction, we may find  $aH \in G/H$  with order p in G/H then p > 1 is least with  $a^p \in H$
  - in particular |a| in G is a multiple of p
    - \* suppose  $a^{mp+r} = 1_G$  then  $1_G \in a^r H \implies a^r \in H$  but r is too small (need to be at least p) so contradiction and must be multiple

**Theorem** (Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups): if G is a finite Abelian group and

$$|G| = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_k^{n_k}$$

with every  $p_i$  prime and  $n_i \ge 1$  then

- 1.  $G \cong G_1 \times \cdots \times G_k$  where all  $|G_i| = p_i^{n_1}$  with all  $G_i$  are cyclic
- 2. This decomposition of G into cyclic groups of prime-power order is unique

### **Proof**:

- Lemma: G a finite Abelian group of order  $p^n \cdot m$  where p is prime,  $n \ge 1$ , and  $p \nmid m$ 
  - then letting  $H = \{g \in G : g^{p^n} = 1_G\}$  (g's order is a divides  $p^n$ ???) and  $K = \{g \in G : g^m = 1_G\}$  then

$$G \cong H \times K$$
 and  $|H| = p^n$ 

- **Proof**: as G is Abelian,  $H, K \leq G$ . we need to check  $H \cap K = \{1_G\}$  and G = HK
  - if  $a \in H \cap K$  then |a| divides  $p^n$  and |a| divides m, since  $p^n$  and m are relatively prime hence |a| = 1 so  $a = 1_G$
  - fix  $a \in G$  as  $gcd(m, p^n) = 1$  by Bezoit's theorem we can find integers s, t with

$$sm + tp^n = 1$$

then  $a = a^{sm} \cdot a^{tp^n}$  and we note that  $(a^{sm})^{p^n} = 1_G$  and simularly  $(a^{tp^n})^m = 1_G$  hence  $a^{sm} \in H$ and  $a^{tp^n} \in K$  so  $a \in HK$  and  $G \cong H \times K$ 

- to see that  $|H| = p^n$  we have  $|G| = |H| \cdot |K|/|H \cap K| = |H| \cdot |K|$ 
  - towards a contradiction, suppose  $p \mid |K|$  and by Abelian Cauchy theorem there exists  $g \in K$  of order p, by definition of K this is not possible

**Cor** (converse to Lagrange's theorem for finite Abelian groups): if G is a finite Abelain group and m is a positive integer with  $m \mid |G|$  then  $\exists$  a subgroup  $H \leq G$  with |H| = m

**Proof** (sketch): by the theorem if enough to show that this corollary holds for finite cyclic groups

• e.g.  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$  how to create  $H \leq G$  of order 6?

$$H = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \{0\} \times 3\mathbb{Z}_3$$
$$H = \{0\} \times 4\mathbb{Z}_2 \times 3\mathbb{Z}_3$$

there will be some counting problems of this type

# **Group Actions**

Groups were invented to capture how they *act* on other mathematical objects such as sets, vector spaces, topological spaces, combinatorial objects, etc.

**Definition**: let G a group and X a set, an group action of G on X is a map  $\alpha : G \times X \to X$  satisfying:

- 1.  $\forall x \in X, \ \alpha(1_G, x) = x$
- 2.  $\forall x \in X \text{ and } \forall g, h \in G, \ \alpha(gh, x) = \alpha(g, \alpha(h, x))$

**Notation**: often if the action  $\alpha : G \times X \to X$  is understood by context we just omit it:

- 1.  $\forall x \in X, \ 1_G \cdot x = x$
- 2.  $\forall x \in X \text{ and } \forall g, h \in G, (gh)x = g(hx)$

## Examples:

1. G acts on X = G by left multiplication:

$$\alpha(g,h) = gh$$

2. G acts on X = G by right multiplication:

$$\alpha(g,h)=hg^{-1}$$

this is  $\alpha: G \times G/H \to G/H$ 

3. if X is a set and  $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$  then G acts on X by application

$$\alpha(g, x) = g(x)$$

this leads to manyy natural examples of actions (we claim that all actions are just this in disjuise)

• if V a vector space and

$$G = \operatorname{Aut}(V) = GL(V)$$

GL is the general linear group (the set of all groups that perserve V???)

• if (X, d) is a metric space and G = Iso(X)

exercise: verify the above

• G acts on X = G by conjugation

$$\alpha(g,h) = ghg^{-1}$$

• if  $H \leq G$ , G acts on X = G/H by left mult

$$\alpha(g_0, g_1H) = g_0g_1H$$

**Proposition**: let G be a group and X a set. There is a 1-1 correspondence between

- Actions of G on X
- Homomorphisms from G to Sym(X)

**Proof**: produce a bijective mapping between actions and hom to prove 1-1 correspondence

- let  $\alpha: G \times X \to X$  be an action. We define  $\bar{\alpha}: G \to \operatorname{Sym}(X)$  via  $\bar{\alpha}(g)(x) = \alpha(g, x)$
- now to check that  $\bar{\alpha}$  looks like a hom

$$(\bar{\alpha}(g) \circ \bar{\alpha}(h))(x) = \bar{\alpha}(g)(\bar{\alpha}(h)(x))$$
  
=  $\bar{\alpha}(g)(\alpha(h, x))$   
=  $\alpha(g, \alpha(h, x))$   
=  $\alpha(gh, x)$  (Prop 2 of actions)  
=  $\bar{\alpha}(gh, x)$ 

• now check that  $\bar{\alpha}(g) \in \text{Sym}(X)$ . we note that

$$\bar{\alpha}(g) \circ \bar{\alpha}(g^{-1}) = \bar{\alpha}(g^{-1}) \circ \bar{\alpha}(g)$$
  
=  $\bar{\alpha}(1_G)$  (Prop 1 of actions)  
=  $\mathrm{id}_X$ 

• Now suppose  $\beta: G \to \operatorname{Sym}(X)$  is a hom. We define  $\hat{\beta}: G \times X \to X$  via

$$\hat{\beta}(g,x) = \beta(g)(x)$$

- check that  $\hat{\beta}$  is an action

- if  $x \in X$  then

$$\hat{\beta}(1_G, x) = \beta(1_G)(x) = \mathrm{id}_X(x) = x$$

– Given  $g, h \in G, x \in X$ 

$$\begin{split} \beta(gh,x) &= \beta(gh)(x) \\ &= (\beta(g) \cdot \beta(h))(x) \\ &= \beta(g)(\beta(h)(x)) \\ &= \hat{\beta}(g, \hat{\beta}(h,x)) \end{split}$$

exercise: check  $\hat{\bar{\alpha}}=\alpha$  and  $\bar{\hat{\beta}}=\beta$ 

now we can re-use the terminology about subgroups of Sym(X) when discussing actions, i.e.

• if  $\alpha: G \times X \to X$  is an action and  $x \in X$  then the  $\alpha$ -orbit of x is

$$\{\alpha(g,x):g\in G\}$$

• and the  $\alpha$ -stabilizer of  $x \in x$  is

$$\{g \in G : \alpha(g, x) = x\} = \operatorname{Stab}_{\alpha}(x)$$

if  $\alpha$  is understood we can omit the subscripts

#### Example:

- let G = D<sub>8</sub> ≤ S<sub>4</sub> (symmetrices of a square) (note that S<sub>4</sub> is permutations of 4 points)
  let C = {r, b} and X = functions from {1, 2, 3, 4} to C
  - i.e. coloring a square's vertices with red and blue
  - given  $x \in X$  and  $g \in D_8$  set

$$(g \cdot x)(i) = x(g^{-1}(i))$$

if  $g = R_{90}$  then

$$(g \cdot x)(1) = x(g^{-1}(x)) = x(4) = b$$
  
 $(g \cdot x)(2) = x(g^{-1}(2)) = x(1) = r$ 

see jul 19 10:11 am for better view of example

- how many orbits? jul 19 10:15 am
  - \* drop down to 6 equivalence classes

forming new actions from old ones

- set of colorings:
  - If  $\alpha: G \times X \to X$  is an action and C is a set of colors
  - We obtain a new action of G on  $C^X$  (set of C colorings of X) via  $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(g^{-1} \cdot x)$
  - picture jul 21 9:38pm
- let  $G = S_n$ , fix some  $1 \le k \le n$ 
  - then  $X = [n]^k = k$ -element subsets of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$
  - G acts on X in the obvious way, i.e.  $g \cdot x = g[x]$ 
    - \* notice that rather than sending a single element we send a set of points???
  - for every k there is only one orbit
  - we can use the orbit-stabilizer theorem: if  $\alpha: G \times X \to X$  is an actoin then  $\forall x \in X$

$$|G| = |O_{\alpha}(x)| \cdot |\operatorname{Stab}_{\alpha}(x)|$$

- \* when  $G = S_n$  then  $X = [n]^k$  and |G| = n!
- \* given  $x \in [n]^k$  then  $|\operatorname{Stab}_{\alpha}(x)| = k!(n-k)!$  (ways to permute our subset x without mixing points in x with those outside x)
- \* so we get  $|O_{\alpha}(x)| = n!/(k!(n-k)!) = {n \choose k} = |X|$

## Polya-Burnside

**Definition**: if G a group, X a set, and  $\alpha G \times X \to X$  an action then given  $g \in G$ 

$$fix_{\alpha}(g) = \{x \in X : gx = x\}$$

**Theorem** (*Polya-Burnside*): let G a finite group, X a set, and  $\alpha : G \times X \to X$  a action then

$$|\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}| = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(g)|$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}$  is set of orbits

**Proof**: consider the set

$$Y = \{(g, x) : g \in G, x \in fix_{\alpha}(g)\}$$

We will count Y in two different ways

• Method 1: consider  $g \in G$ , we obtain

$$|Y| = \sum_{g \in G} |\mathrm{fix}_{\alpha}(g)|$$

• Method 2: consider  $x \in X$  (this means that  $g \in \operatorname{Stab}_{\alpha}(x)$ )

$$|Y| = \sum_{x \in X} |\operatorname{Stab}_{\alpha}(x)|$$
$$= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}} \left( \sum_{x \in A} |\operatorname{Stab}_{\alpha}(x)| \right)$$

• For any  $A \in \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}$  we recall that if  $x, y \in A$  then

$$|\operatorname{Stab}_{\alpha}(x)| = |\operatorname{Stab}_{\alpha}(y)|$$

• So by the Orbit-Stablizer theorem for any  $x \in A$ 

$$\sum_{x \in A} |\operatorname{Stab}_{\alpha}(x)| = |A| \cdot |\operatorname{Stab}_{\alpha}(x)| = |G|$$

• So now

$$|Y| = |\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}| \cdot |G|$$

hence

$$|\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}| = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(g)|$$

**Example**:  $\{R, B, G\}$ -colorings of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  under  $D_8$ 

- X =colorings then |X| = 81
- $|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(\operatorname{id}_4)| = 81$
- $|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(R_{90})| = |\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(R_{270})| = 3$

- as soon as we color two vertices differently we they get swapped by the rotation

•  $|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(R_{180})| = 9$ 

• 
$$|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(F)| = 9$$

•  $|fix_{\alpha}(R_{90} \circ F)| = 27$ 

- $|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(R_{180} \circ F)| = 9$
- $|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(R_{270} \circ F)| = 27$
- then summing all the fix and dividing by size of group we get

$$168/8 = 21$$
 orbits

Last time: Polya Burnshide theorem: if G is a finite group and  $\alpha: G \times X \to X$  is an action, then

$$|\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}| = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(g)|$$

## Example:

- Given a circular tray with 6 holds and 2 colors of beads to place in the holes, how many different configs up to rotation of the tray
  - up to rotation: if we make a config then all the rotations of that config are considered the same config
  - our graph here provides the rotations:  $\mathbb{Z}_6$
  - $-\mathbb{Z}_6$  acts on itslf by left addition  $\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Z}_6$  acts on  $\{R, B\}^{\mathbb{Z}_6}$  (assign each point of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  a R or B) where given  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  and  $\chi \in \{R, B\}^{\mathbb{Z}_6}$  then

$$(m \cdot \chi)(n) = \chi(-m+n)$$

(the action is written multiplicalitively and remember when converting to group element we get the inverse)

- Apply PB: count fix<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>(m) for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_6$ 
  - \* how big is  $\{R, B\}^{\mathbb{Z}_6}$ ? it is  $2^6 = 64$  so

$$|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(0)| = 64$$

\* then if we apply the action 1 how many configurations don't change? only all R or all B

$$|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(1)| = 2$$

\* for rotations by 2 clicks we look at the cycles that are created (we see it creates 2 3-cycles)

$$|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(2)| = 4$$

\* for rotations by 3 clicks we get 3 2-cycles so

$$|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(3)| = 8$$

\* •••

 $|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(4)| = 4$  $|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(5)| = 2$ 

now by PB we have

$$|\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}| = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{m < 6} |\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(m)| = \frac{1}{6}(84) = 14$$

there are 14 different configurations up to rotation

- now suppose we are nable to precisely detect color and only know that two holes have different colored beads: e.g. 5 blue 1 red is the same as 5 red 1 blue
  - jul 24 9:56 am
  - identify the ste of colors with  $S_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2$  then

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$$
 acts on  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\mathbb{Z}_6}$ 

where given  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  with  $\chi \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  we set

$$((i,m)\cdot\chi)(n) = i + \chi(-m+n)$$

– we will also count this action as  $\alpha$  and now begin to count

\* when i = 0 we don't swap the colors so

$$|fix_{\alpha}(0,0)| = 64$$
$$|fix_{\alpha}(0,1)| = 2$$
$$|fix_{\alpha}(0,2)| = 4$$
$$|fix_{\alpha}(0,3)| = 8$$
$$|fix_{\alpha}(0,4)| = 4$$
$$|fix_{\alpha}(0,5)| = 2$$

\* when we swap colors how many will get back to where we started

$$|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(1,0)| = 0$$

(alternating something)

$$|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(1,1)| = 2$$
$$|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(1,2)| = 0$$

(need oppaciate holes to have oppaciate color)

$$|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(1,3)| = 8$$
$$|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(1,4)| = 0$$

(symmetry from (1,1)???)

$$\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(1,5)|=2$$

where does the symmetry some from??

- as a reuslt by PB we get

$$|\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}| = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6} |\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(i.j)| = \frac{1}{12} (96) = 6$$

if we where don't it with 3 colors we use  $S_3$  instead of  $S_2$  which we used here

• How many different ways are there to 3-color the edges of a regular tetrahedron up to symmetries fo a the tetrahedron?

$$Aut(tetrahedron) = A_4$$

(hold one point and rotate base gets 3-cycles) (rotate 2 points get 2 2-cycles)

$$-X = \{R, B, G\}^{([4]^2)}$$
 3<sup>6</sup> = 729 what is [4]<sup>2</sup> and why does  $|[4]^2 = 6|$ 

$$|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(\operatorname{id}_4)| = 729$$

- if we fix one point then we basically create 2 3-cycles for the edges (3 colors for each cycle and  $3^2=9)$ 

$$|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(3\text{-cycle})| = 9$$

- todo

$$|\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(22 - \operatorname{cycle})| = 81$$

then by PB since  $A_4 = |S_4|/2 = 4!/2 = 12$  we have (also there are

$$|\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}| = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{g \in A_4} |\operatorname{fix}_{\alpha}(g)| = \frac{1}{12} (729 + 9 \cdot 8 + 81 \cdot 3) = 87$$

since there are  $\frac{4\cdot3\cdot2}{3} = 8$  3-cycles and  $\frac{\frac{4\cdot3}{2}\cdot\frac{2\cdot1}{2}}{2} = 3$  2 2-cycles